```
tsindia.com
```

Ü01

```
ITEM NO.5
                       COURT NO.1
                                               SECTION XVIA
               SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                       RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 12813/2014
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20/03/2014
in PIL No. 15/2013 passed by the High Court Of J \& K at Jammu)
J & K BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENT. EXAM.
                                                 Petitioner(s)
                                VERSUS
COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION & ORS
                                             Respondent(s)
WITH
T.C.(C) No. 15/2016
T.C.(C) No. 19/2016
T.C.(C) No. 24/2016
T.C.(C) No. 23/2016
T.C.(C) No. 25/2016
T.C.(C) No. 21/2016
T.C.(C) No. 22/2016
T.C.(C) No. 20/2016
T.C.(C) No. 17/2016
T.C.(C) No. 16/2016
T.C.(C) No. 18/2016
T.C.(C) No. 13/2016
T.C.(C) No. 14/2016
Date : 28/09/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
CORAM :
         HON' BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
         HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
         HON' BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD
For Petitioner(s)
                    Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
(SLP © No.12813 Mr. Puneeth K.G., Adv.
 Of 2014) Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.
   Ms. Mithu Jain, Adv.
                     Mr. Anupam Raina, Adv.
For Respondent(s)
Mr. Riyaz Ahmad Jan, Sr. Adv.
(SLP © No.12813 Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Sr. Adv.
of 2014 with Mr. Anupam Raina, Adv.
TC No.13 of 2016) Mr. Syed Ahmad Shakeel, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Parvez Dabas, Adv.
 Mr. Uzmi Jameel Husain, Adv.
(For MCI)
                     Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. Prateek Bhatia, Adv.
(State of J&K) Mr. G.M. Kawoosa, Adv.
 Ms. Manjula Gupta, Adv.
          UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                            ORDER
Special Leave Petition (C) No.12813 of 2014 filed by the Jammu
and Kashmir Board of Professional Entrance Examinations
                                                               assails an
interim order dated 20.03.2014 to the extent it directs the
            to publish a list of of merit may be
petitioner to
                                          21 meritorious candidates who
     basis
                                   considered for admission
the
                                                                 to
                                                                      the MBBS
course in place of candidates whose admission have been cancelled.
The Board has been further directed to offer admission to MBBS 2013
session to candidates pursuing BDS courses at present in different
colleges in the country against the available vacancies
candidates decide
transferred cases
                    to accept the offer. In the accompanying
                     the candidates whose admissions
cancelled by the Board have assailed the orders of cancellation on
several grounds including on the ground that the orders are passed
in violation of principles of natural justice and without any basis
whatsoever.
We have heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable
length in regard
                     to not only the special leave petition filed
```

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/cnr/SCIN010131462014/truecopy/order-42.pdf

the Board but also the transferred cases. We are told by learned counsel for the Board that the candidates in the accompanying $\,$ the transferred cases and few others are facing prosecution for several offences pursuant to charge-sheets filed by the Special Anti-Corruption Branch of the Jammu & Kashmir before the competent court at Srinagar. We are also informed by the learned counsel that as many as 103 prosecution witnesses have already been examined at the trial so far including two investigating officers while the third investigating officer is currently being cross-examined. It is urged by learned counsel for the Board that the trial would have been concluded by now by but for the recent disturbances and law and order problems in the valley. He urged that instead of hearing and disposing off these matters it would be more appropriate to direct the trial court to conclude the trial expeditiously and to pass appropriate orders on the subject as far as possible within a time frame to be fixed by this Court. Mr.R.A.Jan and Mr.Sanjay R. Hegde, learned senior counsel the writ petitioners in the transferred cases submit that the writ petitioners deserve the benefit in an interim order directing re-admission keeping in view the fact that the cancellation of their admission was without any lawful basis. It is urged by them that the writ petitioners have also lost valuable time by reason of unlawful cancellation of their admission and that any further delay in restoring their admission would cause great prejudice to them. We have given our anxious consideration to the submissions Keeping in view the nature of the controversy made at the Bar. especially the fact that the cases before us relate to the purity

of the process of selection of candidates for admission to medical colleges in the State, we are of the view that instead of passing any interim direction at this stage for re-admission of the writ petitioners to the course to which they were earlier admitted, we deem it appropriate to direct the trial court to conclude the trial expeditiously and as far as possible within a period of three months from today. We hope and trust that the trial court will be able to achieve this within the time allotted keeping in view the fact that any further delay is likely to affect the candidates who have already lost some time on account of pending litigation.

These petitions shall in the meantime stand adjourned to be listed again in the month of February, 2017.

The respondents in the accompanying transferred cases shall file their counter affidavits if not already filed. Rejoinder affidavit shall also be filed within four weeks from the date the counter affidavits are filed.

(Ashok Raj Singh) Court Master

(Veena Khera) Court Master