

ITEM NO.7 COURT NO.6 SECTION II
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).2953/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 06/04/2012 in SBCRM
No.3577/2012 of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR)

DAMJI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail and office report)

WITH SLP(Crl) NO. 2968 of 2012
(With appln(s) for bail and office report)
SLP(Crl) NO. 2997 of 2012
(With appln(s) for bail and office report)
SLP(Crl) NO. 3001 of 2012
(With appln(s) for bail and office report)
SLP(Crl) NO. 3002 of 2012
(With appln(s) for bail and office report)

Date: 10/07/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Y.N. Oza, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D.N. Ray, Adv.
Mr. Harshil Dholakia, Adv.
Mr. Lokesh K. Choudhary, Adv.
For Mrs. Sumita Ray, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ram Naresh Yadav, Adv.
Mr. V. Sushant Gupta, Adv.
For Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(Crl) NO. 2953 of 2012

Heard Mr. Y.N. Oza, learned senior advocate
appearing for the petitioner, and Mr. Ram Naresh Yadav,
learned counsel appearing for the State of Rajasthan.

2

The counsel representing the State is not in a
position to deny that the case of the present petitioner,
Damji, stands on the same footing as that of another
accused, namely, Sunil, who was earlier granted bail by
this court vide order dated March 30, 2012 in SLP(Crl.)
No.789 of 2012. The petitioner too is, therefore,

directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

The special leave petition is disposed of.

SLP(Crl) NO. 2968 of 2012

Heard Mr. Y.N. Oza, learned senior advocate

appearing for the petitioner, and Mr. Ram Naresh Yadav, learned counsel appearing for the State of Rajasthan.

The counsel representing the State is not in a position to deny that the case of the present petitioner, Lalit Gangvani, stands on the same footing as that of another accused, namely, Sunil, who was earlier granted bail by this court vide order dated March 30, 2012 in SLP(Crl.) No.789 of 2012. The petitioner too is, therefore, directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

The special leave petition is disposed of.

SLP(Crl) NO. 2997 of 2012

Heard Mr. Y.N. Oza, learned senior advocate

appearing for the petitioner, and Mr. Ram Naresh Yadav, learned counsel appearing for the State of Rajasthan.

The counsel representing the State is not in a position to deny that the case of the present petitioner, Girdhar Gangvani, stands on the same footing as that of another accused, namely, Sunil, who was earlier granted bail by this court vide order dated March 30, 2012 in SLP(Crl.) No.789 of 2012. The petitioner too is, therefore, directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

The special leave petition is disposed of.

SLP(Crl) NO. 3001 of 2012

Heard Mr. Y.N. Oza, learned senior advocate

appearing for the petitioner, and Mr. Ram Naresh Yadav,
learned counsel appearing for the State of Rajasthan.

The counsel representing the State is not in a
position to deny that the case of the present petitioner,
Jitendra, stands on the same footing as that of another
accused, namely, Sunil, who was earlier granted bail by
this court vide order dated March 30, 2012 in SLP(Crl.)
No.789 of 2012. The petitioner too is, therefore,
directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of
Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to
the satisfaction of the trial court.

The special leave petition is disposed of.

SLP(Crl) NO. 3002 of 2012

Heard Mr. Y.N. Oza, learned senior advocate
4

appearing for the petitioner, and Mr. Ram Naresh Yadav,
learned counsel appearing for the State of Rajasthan.

The counsel representing the State is not in a
position to deny that the case of the present petitioner,
Arvind, stands on the same footing as that of another
accused, namely, Sunil, who was earlier granted bail by
this court vide order dated March 30, 2012 in SLP(Crl.)
No.789 of 2012. The petitioner too is, therefore,
directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of
Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to
the satisfaction of the trial court.

The special leave petition is disposed of.

(Neetu Khajuria)
Sr.P.A.

(Sneh Bala Mehra)
Court Master