IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6134 OF 2010

AMRIK SINGH & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE TR.LAQ COLLECTOR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

ORDER

Nobody appears on behalf of the appellants, even on second

call.

Office report says that the appellants had not furnished the

fresh and correct address of respondent Nos.2 to 5 and also not

taken steps to bring on record LRs of deceased respondent No.6.

The appeal is, therefore, dismissed for non-prosecution

against respondent Nos.2 to 5 and 6.

..... д.

(R.K. AGRAWAL)

New Delhi;

December 03, 2014.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by Meenakshi Kohli Date: 2014.12.10 17:42:08 IST

AMRIK SINGH & ORS.

Reason:

ITEM NO.7 COURT NO.13 SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 6134/2010

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE TR.LAQ COLLECTOR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Appellant(s)

(office report on default)

Date: 03/12/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL [IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) M/s. Temple Law Firm, Advs. (not represented)

For Respondent(s) Ms. C. K. Sucharita, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following $\,$ O R D E R $\,$

The appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution against respondent Nos. 2 to 5 and 6 in terms of the signed order.

(R.NATARAJAN)
Court Master

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
Court Master