```
ú(1
  ITEM NO.102
                                 COURT NO.3
                                                              SECTION XIIA
                    SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                             RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
  Civil Appeal No(s). 3791-3793/2011
  GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA & ORS.
                                                           Appellant(s)
                                       VERSUS
  RAO V.B.J.CHELIKANI & ORS. ETC.
                                                           Respondent(s)
  (with appln. (s) for withdrawal of civil appeal and substitution
  and office report)
www.ecourtsindia.com
  WITH
  SLP(C) No. 19838/2010
  (With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and
  Interim Relief and Office Report)
  C.A. No. 3794-3796/2011
  (With appln(s) for modification of Court's Order and Office Report)
  C.A. No. 3797-3799/2011
  (With appln(s) for intervention and Office Report)
  C.A. No. 3800-3802/2011
  (With appln(s) for bringing on record additional documents and
  Office Report)
  C.A. No. 3803/2011
  (With Office Report)
  C.A. No. 3804-3806/2011
 (With appln(s) for transposing respondents as petitioners and
  Office Report)
  C.A. No. 3807-3809/2011
  (With Office Report)
  C.A. No. 3810/2011
  (With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and
  Office Report)
  Date: 20/04/2017 These appeals were called on for hearing today.
  CORAM :
             HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
             HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER
For the parties:
State of Telangana Mr. Dushyant Dave, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Harin P. Raval, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.
State of AP Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Niranjan Reddy, Sr. Adv.
  Mr. Raghenth Basant, Adv.
  Mr. Yogesh, Adv.
  Ms. Pujitha Gorantla, Adv.
  Mr. Hishal Johari, Adv.
  Ms. Aanchal Tikmani, Adv.
                        M/s. Mclm & Co.,Adv.
  Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.
  Mr. Omanakutan K., Adv.
  Mr. Devesh Kumar Agnihotri, Adv.
  Mr. Ramesh Mishra, Adv.
  Mr. Govind Jee, Adv.
  Mr. S.S. Prasad, Sr. Adv.
  Ms. C. K. Sucharita, Adv.
  Mr. K.R. Anand, Adv.
  Mr. Rajiv Dutta, Sr. Adv.
  Mr. Kumar Dushyant Singh, Adv.
  Mr. Siddharth Dutta, Adv.
  Mr. Mukul Lather, Adv.
  Mr. Vairawan A.S., Adv.
Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.
```

```
www.ecourtsindia.com
```

Mr. Anuj Shah, Adv. Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv. Mr. Niraj Kumar Jain, Mr. Niraj Kumar Jain, Sr. Adv. Mr. Manish Mohan, Adv. Mr. Arvind Kumar Gupta, Adv. Mr. Bhaskar P, Adv. Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Adv. Mr. Amit Pawan, Adv. Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain,Adv.
Mr. T. V. Ratnam,Adv. Mr. Abinash Kumar Mishra, Adv. Ms. Promila, Adv. Mr. S. Thananjayan, Adv. M/s. Venkat Palwai Law Associates Mr. Sateesh Galla, Adv. Mr. Uma Prasana B, Adv. Mr. N. Rajaraman, Adv. UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER important questions Some regarding the authority State in making allotment of land for the housing purposes either to certain identified groups of the society individuals arise in this batch of appeals. Having regard to the fact that the allotment of land the property vested in the individual States and regard to the fact that the legislative competence is of having to deal with the subject matter of land, is vested with the States under Entry 18 of List II of the primarily States have the necessary authority formulating the policies with respect to the allotment land for the housing purposes. But in the last few decades of the history of the Republic, it so happened that policies of different States in this regard varied from State to State and even with reference to an individual State from time to time. It lead to lot of litigation creating uncertainties over the rights and obligations of the individuals and also questions regarding the legality and propriety of the policies adopted by the States. In the present set of appeals before us, these questions are needed to be examined with reference States of the country, i.e. States of Telangana and Andhra Pradesh, but having regard to the importance of such facie, see the need to explore question, we, prima facie, see the need to expossibility of establishing a uniform policy in matters applicable throughout the country. We, therefore, deem it appropriate to issue notice to the learned Attorney General to assist the Court arriving at a proper conclusion in these matters. We also deem it appropriate to put all the States and Territories also Union and the Union notice. Registry will serve a copy of this order standing counsel/Advocates on Record representing different States and Union Territories and also to the Attorney General, within a week from today. List the matters on 2 nd List the matters on 2 nd
May, 2017 as part heard.
(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI) (SUMAN JAIN)
AR-cum-PS Court Master