

ITEM NO.15

REGISTRAR COURT. 1

SECTION PIL(W)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 576/2014

MADHAV KANT MISHRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 12/10/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.  
Mr. Nikunj Dayal, Adv.  
Ms. Payal Dayal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.  
Ms. Tanya Shree, Adv.

Mr. Som Raj Choudhury, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishth Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.  
Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.

Mr. Rabindra Kumar Mohanty, Adv.  
Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Varsha Poddar, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.  
Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, Adv.  
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Mishra, Adv.

Mr. V. G. Pragasam, Adv.  
Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.  
Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.  
M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.

Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.  
M/s Corporate Law Group, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.  
Ms. G. Indira, Adv.

Mr. M.F. Philip, Adv.  
Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.

Mr. Suryananarayana Singh, AAG  
Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Ms. E. Enatoli Sema, Adv.  
Mr. Edward Belho, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sidhanth Mohan, Adv.  
Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Service on respondent nos. 14 to 16, 18, 24-25  
and 28-29 is still awaited. Though Secretary  
In-charge, Uttarakhand Administration has sent a letter  
dated 22.09.2015 stating that advocate has been  
appointed on behalf of the State i.e. respondent no.28,  
but no one has filed vakalatnama. In view thereof,

service in respect of respondent no.28 stands complete.

Counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent nos. 4, 9, 12, 19, 20, 21, 27, 30, 32, and 33 is already on record.

Service in respect of respondent nos. 1, 5, 8, 17, 35 and 36 is complete. However, no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

Remaining appearing respondents have not filed counter affidavit/vakalatnama despite last opportunity being granted. Therefore, further opportunity is declined.

List on 23.11.2015.

(RACHNA GUPTA)  
Registrar