ITEM NO.5

COURT NO.5

SECTION XIV

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 15482/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29/03/2016 in WPC No. 620/2011 passed by the High Court Of Manipur At Imphal)

KHUNJAMAYUM BIMOTI DEVI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MANIPUR AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 18128/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 18133/2016

(With appln.(s) for deletion of the name of respondent and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 18136/2016

(With appln.(s) for c.delay in filing SLP, c/delay in refiling SLP, deletion of the name of respondent and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 15589/2016

(With appln.(s) for substituted service and appln.(s) for c/delay in refiling SLP and Office Report)

Date: 29/08/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv,

Mr. Lenin Singh Hijam, Adv.

Mr. Pratik R. Bombarde, Adv.

Mr Anish R. Shah, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V. Giri, Sr.Adv. (Not present)

Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

Mr. Linghoingambi Thongam, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kumar Gaur, Adv.

Mr. Bobhoy Potsangbom,Adv.
Ms. Tatini Basu,Adv.

2

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

I.A.No.../2016 in SLP(C)No.18133/2016 & I.A.No.4/2016 in SLP(C)No.15589/2016

Learned counsel for the petitioners have filed the applications for substituted service on Respondent Nos. 6 to 1428 in these two SLPs (SLP(C)No.18133/2016 and 15589/2016) by way of publication in newspapers with the prayer as follows:

"(a) allow the petitioners to effect substituted service upon Respondent No.6 to 1428 through publication in "Peoples Chronicle" (English Manipuri Edition) Regional Language News Paper "Hueiyen Lanpao" (Manipuri Edition)"

(b) Pass any oter or further order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice"

Accepting the reasons stated in the applications, the applications for substituted service are allowed and the applicants-petitioners are permitted to serve the respondents 6 to 1428 by way of substituted service, as prayed for.

List the matters on $24^{\rm th}$ October, 2016. In the meanwhile, learned counsel for the respondents who have been served may file their counter affidavit in their respective SLPs.

[O.P. SHARMA]
AR-cum-PS

[RAJINDER KAUR] COURT MASTER