

@1

ITEM NO.5

COURT NO.5

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 15482/2016
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29/03/2016
in WPC No. 620/2011 passed by the High Court Of Manipur At Imphal)
KHUNJAMAYUM BIMOTI DEVI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MANIPUR AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 18128/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 18133/2016

(With appln.(s) for deletion of the name of respondent and Office
Report)

SLP(C) No. 18136/2016

(With appln.(s) for c.delay in filing SLP, c/delay in refiling SLP,
deletion of the name of respondent and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 15589/2016

(With appln.(s) for substituted service and appln.(s) for c/delay
in refiling SLP and Office Report)

Date : 29/08/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Meenakshi Arora,Sr.Adv,

Mr. Lenin Singh Hijam,Adv.

Mr. Pratik R. Bombarde,Adv.

Mr Anish R. Shah,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V. Giri,Sr.Adv.(Not present)

Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei,Adv.

Mr. Linghoingambi Thongam,Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh,Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kumar Gaur,Adv.

2

Mr. Bobhoy Potsangbom,Adv.

Ms. Tatini Basu,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

I.A.No..../2016 in SLP(C)No.18133/2016 & I.A.No.4/2016 in

SLP(C)No.15589/2016

Learned counsel for the petitioners have filed the
applications for substituted service on Respondent Nos. 6 to 1428
in these two SLPs (SLP(C)No.18133/2016 and 15589/2016) by way of
publication in newspapers with the prayer as follows:

â S (a) allow the petitioners to effect substituted
service upon Respondent No.6 to 1428 through
publication in â SPeoples Chronicleâ \235 (English
Manipuri Edition) Regional Language News Paper
â SHueiyen Lanpaoâ \235 (Manipuri Edition)â \235

(b) Pass any oter or further order or orders as
this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in
the facts and circumstances of the case and in
the interest of justiceâ \235

Accepting the reasons stated in the applications, the
applications for substituted service are allowed and the
applicants-petitioners are permitted to serve the respondents 6 to
1428 by way of substituted service, as prayed for.

List the matters on 24 th

October, 2016. In the meanwhile,

learned counsel for the respondents who have been served may file
their counter affidavit in their respective SLPs.

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com