

ITEM NO.1715

COURT NO.7

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION(S)(CIVIL) NO(S). 428/2023

INDIAN MUSLIM FOR PROGRESS AND REFORMS IMPAR

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

Date : 08-11-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rizwan Ahmad, AOR
 Mr. Amir Kaleem, Adv.
 Mr. Mohd Wasiq Khan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR
 Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR
 Mr. Deepayan Dutta, Adv.
 Mr. Saurabh Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Anshul Narayan, Addl. Standing Counsel, Adv.
 Mr. Prem Prakash, AOR

Mr. Abhay Anil Anturkar, Adv.
 Mr. Dhruv Tank, Adv.
 Mr. Aniruddha Awalgaonkar, Adv.
 Ms. Surbhi Kapoor, AOR
 Mr. Sarthak Mehrotra, Adv.
 Mr. Bhagwant Deshpande, Adv.
 Ms. Subhi Pastor, Adv.

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR
 Ms. Srujana Suman Mund, Adv.
 Ms. Neha Singh, Adv.
 Ms. Abhipsa Mohanty, Adv.
 Mr. Rishi Yadav, Adv.
 Ms. Sneha Menon, Adv.

Ms. Mandakini Singh, Adv.

Ms. Ashima Mandla, Adv.
Mr. Mrityunjai Singh, AOR

Mr. Rajiv Shanker Dvivedi, Standing Counsel, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Tulika Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Beenu Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Venkat Narayan, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR
Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhanian Aor, Adv.
Ms. Alpana Sharma, Adv.

Dr. Ravindra Chingale, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR
Mr. T.k. Nayak, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Siddhesh Shirish Kotwal, AOR

Mr. Talha Abdul Rahman, D.A.G.
Ms. Baani Khanna, AOR
Mr. Robin Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Govinda Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Anand Shankar, AOR

Mr. Akshat Kumar, AOR
Ms. Anubha Dhulia, Adv.

Ms. Ruchira Goel, AOR

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR
Ms. Ripul Swati Kumari, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Record of proceedings dated 26.07.2024 reveals that the petitioner has not taken steps to file fresh particulars in respect of respondent Nos.16, 19, 23, 26 and 34, despite last opportunity having been granted.

However, time is extended by two weeks to take fresh steps and file fresh particulars in respect of unserved respondents, failing which, this writ petition will stand automatically dismissed *qua* the unserved respondent Nos.16, 19, 23, 26 and 34, without any further reference to this Court.

List the matter after two weeks. Counter affidavit of respondent No.2 be filed then.

(JAGDISH KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(RAM SUBHAG SINGH)
COURT MASTER (NSH)