

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 375/2023

FEDERATION HAJ PTOS OF INDIA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.59092/2023-STAY APPLICATION)

WITH W.P.(C) No. 376/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.59129/2023-STAY APPLICATION)

W.P.(C) No. 386/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.60246/2023-EX-PARTE STAY)

W.P.(C) No. 395/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.61039/2023-EX-PARTE STAY)

W.P.(C) No. 382/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.59733/2023-STAY APPLICATION)

W.P.(C) No. 384/2023

W.P.(C) No. 381/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.59664/2023-EX-PARTE STAY)

W.P.(C) No. 393/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.60984/2023-STAY APPLICATION)

W.P.(C) No. 389/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.60673/2023-STAY APPLICATION)

W.P.(C) No. 390/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.60776/2023-EX-PARTE STAY)

W.P.(C) No. 394/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.61011/2023-EX-PARTE STAY)

W.P.(C) No. 397/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.61460/2023-STAY APPLICATION)

W.P.(C) No. 392/2023

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.60977/2023-STAY APPLICATION)

Date : 27-03-2023 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dushyant Dave, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sulaiman Mohd. Khan, Adv.
Mrs. Taiba Khan, Adv.
Mr. Bhanu Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Ainul Ansari, Adv.
Mr. Akash Bhushan, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Amit Sthalekar, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General
Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Mahapatra, Adv.
Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Solicitor General of India for the respondent-Union of India.

We have perused the preliminary counter affidavit filed by the Union of India and, in particular, the statement therein regarding the policy decision taken by the Government to help the poor pilgrims.

The learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are willing to match the price of the package offered by the Government of India through Haj Committee.

If that be so, the petitioners are free to represent their case(s) before the Government. It is ultimately the Government which has to take the appropriate policy decision in the light of the representation which may be made by the petitioners. By directing the Government to consider the representation at the earliest, the Writ Petitions are disposed of.

Pending applications also stand disposed of.

(ANITA MALHOTRA)
AR-CUM-PS

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER