

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.6

SECTION XI-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 3161-3165/2019

KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION ETC.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M. RAJAGOPALAN NAIR, DIED THROUGH LRS. ETC ETC & ORS. Respondent(s)
([FOR DIRECTION])

IA No. 87117/2021 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

IA No. 26/2016 - STAY APPLICATION

IA No. 11/2016 - STAY APPLICATION)

WITH

C.A. No. 3166/2019 (XI-A)

C.A. No. 3167/2019 (XI-A)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R.)

C.A. No. 3175/2019 (XI-A)

C.A. No. 3176/2019 (XI-A)

C.A. No. 3180/2019 (XI-A)

C.A. No. 3174/2019 (XI-A)

C.A. No. 3177/2019 (XI-A)

C.A. No. 3178/2019 (XI-A)

C.A. No. 3179/2019 (XI-A)

T.P.(C) No. 712/2018 (XVI-A)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.63122/2018-EX-PARTE STAY

Date : 27-10-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG

Mr. Deepak Prakash, AOR

Ms. Divyangna Malik, Adv.

Mr. Nachiketa Vajpayee, Adv.

Ms. Prerna Robin, Adv.

Mr. Nithin Chowdary, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Bhati, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sudarshan Rajah, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Rawat, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Hitain Bajaj, Adv.

Dr. K. B. Sounder Rajan, AOR

Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R., AOR
Ms. Nisha Sharma, Adv.

Dr. M.P. Raju, Adv.
Dr. P. George Giri, AOR

Mr. Sanand Ramakrishnan, AOR

Dr. P. George Giri, AOR
Ms. Jasmin Kurian Giri, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Sagar, Adv.

Dr. P. George Giri, AOR
Ms. Jasmin Kurian Giri, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Sagar, Adv.

Mr. C. K. Sasi, AOR
Mr. Abdulla Naseeh, Adv.
Ms. Meena K.P., Adv.

Mr. Jogy Scaria, AOR
Mr. Biju Thankappen, AOR

Dr. Sumant Bharadwaj, Adv.
Mr. Vedant Bharadwaj, Adv.
Ms. Mridula Ray Bharadwaj, AOR
Mr. Rakesh Kailash Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Vipul Rana, Adv.

Mr. V. K. Biju, AOR
Mr. Amlendu Kumar Akhilesh Kumar Jha, Adv.
Mr. Shaji George, Adv.
Ms. Vijay Laxmi, Adv.

Impleadment

Mr. Jogy Scaria, AOR
Ms. Beena Victor, Adv.
Ms. M. Priya, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The scheme has been filed. There are certain concerns of the respondents as retired people as they say that the Union may not be able to look after their interests as they are more concerned with the current working people while the Scheme is

for the benefit of the retired people. The following concerns have been expressed :

- a) It is the say of the respondents that since the service books of the concerned persons are with the appellant, there is no need for filing the applications on behalf of the retired employees or providing any structure for scrutiny in appeal thereof;
- b) The pension is sought to be restricted w.e.f. July, 2021 and it is stated that persons have retired at different stages of time from 2000 onwards;

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the MD of the appellant is also the Secretary of the department concerned and he has made a lot of effort to resolve this controversy. The hope is expressed that whatever remaining issues need to be ironed out, the same would be capable of being resolved. In order to give better assistance in this behalf, it is agreed that the respondents between themselves will agree to three representatives with whom virtual interaction can take place.

Learned counsel for the parties also state that if there is still some creases are to be ironed out, they will leave it to the Court so that an end

is put to the *lis*.

List for further directions on 03.12.2021.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SUNIL KUMAR RAJVANSHI)
COURT MASTER(NSH)