REVISED COURT NO.12

ITEM NO.40

SECTION XVII

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 14366/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07/03/2013 in CWJC No. 293/2001 07/03/2013 in IA No. 702/2013 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi)

RAJIV RANJAN SINGH@ LALLAN KUMAR SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With interim relief and office report)

(For final disposal)

Date: 27/02/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mohd. Waquas, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Pratapi Singh, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Narsimha, ASG.

Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Merusagar Smantaray, Adv.

Ms. Lhingneivah, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Learned Additional Solicitor General states that the officers in question are not being transferred at this stage as earlier proposed.

The petition is dismissed as infructuous in view of the above statement.

Needless to say that the petitioner is aggrieved by any order passed in future, he will be at liberty to take his remedies in accordance with law.

(SWETA DHYANI)

(VEENA KHERA) COURT MASTER

SR.P.A

www.ecourtsindia.com

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 14366/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07/03/2013 in CWJC No. 293/2001 07/03/2013 in IA No. 702/2013 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi)

RAJIV RANJAN SINGH@ LALLAN KUMAR SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With interim relief and office report)

(For final disposal)

Date: 27/02/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mohd. Waquas, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Pratapi Singh, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Narsimha, ASG.

Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Lhingneivah, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

Learned Additional Solicitor General states that the officers in question are not being transferred at this stage as earlier proposed.

The petition is dismissed as infructuous in view of the above statement.

Needless to say that the petitioner is aggrieved by any order passed in future, he will be at liberty to take his remedies in accordance with law.

(SWETA DHYANI)

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER

SR.P.A