SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M K HANJURA

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 161/2014

K. RAGHU RAMAKRISHNA RAJU & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for impleadment and office report)

WITH

- W.P.(C) No. 984/2013
- W.P.(C) No. 164/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 165/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 203/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 207/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 210/2014
- W.P. (C) No. 211/2014
- W.P. (C) No. 215/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 217/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 218/2014
- W.P. (C) No. 219/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 220/2014
- W.P. (C) No. 222/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 223/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 224/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 225/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 228/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 230/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 232/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 271/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 275/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 289/2014 W.P.(C) No. 306/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 344/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 350/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 407/2014
- W.P. (C) No. 426/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 428/2014
- W.P. (C) No. 456/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 470/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 507/2014 W.P.(C) No. 701/2014
- W.P.(C) No. 720/2014

SLP(C) No. 12672/2014 W.P.(C) No. 804/2014 W.P.(C) No. 1053/2014 (With Office Report)

Date: 26/08/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. N. Rajaraman, Adv.

Mr. Manoj C. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Adv.

Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, Adv.

Mr.V.Arun Kumar, Petitioner-in-person,Adv.

Mr. S. K. Sabharwal, Adv.

Ms.Shilpi Gupta,Adv. Mr.Syed Ahmad Naqvi,Adv.

Mr.A.Ramesh, Adv.

Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, Adv.

Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

Ms.Neelam Jain, Adv.

Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, Adv.

Mr. M. Vijaya Bhaskar, Adv.

Mr.M.M.Popli, adv.

Mr. R. C. Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.

Mr. Vimal Chandra S. Dave, Adv.

Mr.S.M.Vivek Anandh, Adv.

Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. P. K. Manohar, Adv.

.........3

Mr.Dhirendra Kr.Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.

Mr.Swetank Sailkhwal, Adv.

Mr. Vipin Kumar Jai, Adv.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Dahiya, Adv.

Mrs. K. Sarada Devi, Adv.

Mr. P. Vinay Kumar, Adv.

Mr.S.Udaya K.Sagar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Caveator-in-person, Adv. Ms. C. K. Sucharita, Adv.

Mr.Avinash Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr.Amit Sharma, Adv.

Mr.Som Raj Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Abhishth Kumar, Adv.

Mr.Anip Sachthey, Adv.

Ms. Hancy Wadhwa, adv.

Mr.Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr.Arjun Bobde, Adv.

Mr.Sumeet Lal, Adv.

Ms.Deepika Singh, Adv.

Ms.Apeksha Sharan, Adv.

Mr.C.D.Singh, Adv.

Mr.Darpan Bhuyan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

.........4

Writ Petition(Civil)Nos.984/13, 161, 166,207, 210, 215, 218, 219, 223, 224, 225, 228,230, 271, 275, 306, 456, 742, 804/2014

Ld.counsel Ms.C.K.Sucharita & Mr.Amit Sharma have filed the counter affidavit in each such matter where they have filed the vakalatnama. However, the Ld.counsel for the other appearing respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Viewed thus, all the matters numbered above shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under rules.

Ld.counsel Ms.C.K.Sucharita, shall provide the copy of the counter affidavit to the Ld.counsel for the petitioners within a week's time and file proof.

Writ Petition(Civil)Nos.164, 165, 203, 217, 220, 220, 222,232, 344, 350, 407,428, 470, 507, 701 & 720/2014

In all the matters numbered above, four weeks time as last chance is given to the Ld.counsel for the petitioners to take fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the unserved respondents. Dasti in addition is permitted to be served. The served respondents shall be at liberty to file the counter affidavit within a period of four weeks, whereafter no further extension shall be given.

Writ Petition(C) No.211/2014

Await the return of the service of notice for making alternative arrangement already issued to the petitioner.

Writ Petition © No.289/2014

Mr.Arjun Bobde and Mr.Somraj Chaudhary, Ld.counsel appear for State of Goa and the State of Orissa respectively. They seek and are given four weeks time to file the vakalatnama and the counter affidavit. The other served respondents shall also be at liberty to file the counter affidavit within the period provided above.

... 5

Four weeks time as last chance is given to the Ld.counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the other unserved respondents. Dasti in addition is permitted to be served.

List the matters again on 28.09.2015.

SLP(C) No.12672/2014

The office report indicates that although by order dated 07.05.2015 of this Court, four weeks time as last chance was given to the Ld.counsel for the petitioners to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the unserved respondents, yet he has not done the needful within the period stipulated in the order. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders and list thereafter.

(M K HANJURA) Registrar

SB