```
%Item No.17 1
          REGISTRAR COURT. 2
                                          SECTION XVII
                SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                        RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
                      BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH
Civil Appeal No(s). 4037/2015
MOSER BAER ENERGY DEVELOPMENT LTD(NOW PORBANDAR SOLAR POWER LTD)
  Appellant(s)
                                 VERSUS
GUJARAT URJA VIKAS NIGAM LTD AND ORS.
                                                   Respondent(s)
Date: 01/05/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.
For Appellant(s) Ms. Amrita Narayan, Adv.
                      Mr. Sakya Singha Chaudhuri, Adv.
For Respondent(s)
                      Mr. Anirban Bhattacharya, Adv.
 Ms. Shruti Dass, Adv.
 Mr. Manish Yadav, Adv.
                      Mr. Arjun Garg, Adv.
 Ms. Neha Agarwal, Adv.
                      Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Adv.
                      Mr. Kaushik Poddar, Adv.
 Ms. Shruti Dass, Adv.
                      Mr. Nar Hari Singh, Adv.
                      Mr. Naveen Kumar, Adv.
                      Mr. Rajesh Mahale, Adv.
                      Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Adv.
 Mr.Sanjay Gupta, Adv.
                      Mr. Umesh Kumar Khaitan, Adv.
Item No.17 2
                      Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv.
 Ms. Mamta Singh, Adv.
                      Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
 Ms.Arunima Kedia, Adv.
                      M/s. Khaitan & Co., Adv.
 Mr.Akash Jindal, Adv.
                      M/s. Parekh & Co., Adv.
 Mr.Syed Jafar Alam, Adv.
 Mr. Prateek Gupta, Adv.
           UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                              ORDER
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Ld. Advocate has appeared on behalf of the
                                           is given four weeks time to
                     Не
                           seeks
                                     and
the vakalatnama and the counter affidavit.
Await
       certificate of service
                                    of Respondent No.34
                                                               from
                                                                      the
concerned court.
```

respondent No.11. file

As per postal tracking report respondent No. 28 has refused to accept the service of notice sent to him. This refusal on his part constitutes proper service but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.

List again on 31.7.2017 .

(M V RAMESH) Registrar

MG