

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.744/2021

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1971/2019

SUSMITA BHAUMIK (TUNGA) .. PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

ANINDYA NARAYAN BISWAS & ANR. .. RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 745/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 748/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 749/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 751/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 752/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 753/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 754/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 759/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 969/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

O R D E R

**We have heard learned counsel for the
parties.**

There is a dispute whether the petitioners at all submitted the requisite documents before the cut-off date. As per the respondents it is not so.

The case of the petitioners is that they endeavoured to physically deliver documents which were not acknowledged and then they were sent by speed post. If that be so, naturally they would be required to have acknowledgment of the documents sent through speed post.

We are of the view that the aforesaid cannot be the subject matter of contempt proceedings. However, before closing the proceedings, we are of the view that if the documents have been sent in terms of our order by the specified date to the specified authority, we leave it to the 10 left out persons who have now alleged contempt to bring it to the notice of the concerned authority the proof of such receipt of documents by them. In that eventuality the respondents to examine their case for the said purpose.

The proof, if any, be sent within a week and examination to take place within a maximum period of three weeks thereafter.

With the aforesaid the contempt proceedings are closed and the contempt petitions are disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
[SANJAY KISHAN KAUL]

.....J.
[M.M. SUNDRESH]

NEW DELHI,
FEBRUARY 08, 2022.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 744/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019

SUSMITA BHAUMIK (TUNGA)

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ANINDYA NARAYAN BISWAS & ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 745/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019 (XVI)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 748/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019 (XVI)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 749/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019 (XVI)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 751/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019 (XVI)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 752/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019 (XVI)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 753/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019 (XVI)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 754/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019 (XVI)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 759/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019 (XVI)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 969/2021 in C.A. No. 1071/2019 (XVI)

Date : 08-02-2022 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

For Petitioner(s) **Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.**
 Mr. Joydeep Mazumdar, Adv.
 Mr. Debojyoti Bhattacharya, Adv.
 Mr. Amitabrata roy, Adv.
 Ms. Shubhangi Sangal, Adv.
 Ms. Shalini Kaul, AOR

For Respondent(s) **Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.**
 Ms. Madhumita Bhattacharjee, AOR
 Ms. Srija Chodhury, Adv.

Mr. Anant, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The contempt proceedings are closed and the
contempt petitions are disposed of in terms of the
signed order.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

[CHARANJEET KAUR]
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

[POONAM VAID]
COURT MASTER (NSH)

[Signed order is placed on the file]