

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.4

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

R.P.(C) No. 1483/2015 In C.A. No. 2402/2015

MANI SQUARE LTD. & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NEMAI CHANDRA KUMAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 09/03/2016 This petition was circulated today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P. Chidambaram, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sumit Goel, Adv.  
Mr. Kunal, Adv.  
Mr. Kshatrshal Raj, Adv.  
Ms. Sanjana Ramachandran, Adv.  
For M/s. Parekh & Co., Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Ranjeeta Rohtagi, AOR  
Mr. Manan Verma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent nos.1 to 6 submitted that this Court should have issued notice prior to listing the matter before this Court. For the said purpose, he has placed reliance on the concurring opinion in P.N. Eswara Iyer and Ors. vs. Registrar, Supreme Court of India, [(1980) 4 SCC 680].

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, we are of the considered opinion that the said objection is misplaced and accordingly stands rejected.

It is submitted by Mr. Sumit Goel, learned counsel appearing for the Mani Square Ltd., the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in Civil Appeal no.8297

of 2014, that the money has not been withdrawn. Be it noted, the name of the respondent is 'Mani Square Ltd.' but by mistake it has been mentioned as 'Manish Goel' vide order dated 11.05.2015. Be that as it may, the money lying in deposit in Court shall not be withdrawn till the review petition is disposed of.

Mr. P. Chidambaram and Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submitted that the State and other parties in the civil appeal are necessary parties and, therefore, they may be permitted to implead them as parties to the review petition.

They are permitted to do so subject to payment of cost of Rs.50,000/- which shall be paid to Nemai Chandra Kumar, 1<sup>st</sup> respondent, in the review petition. The said amount shall be deposited within a week hence.

After the new respondents are added as parties, notice shall be issued to the newly added respondents so that there will be no cavil over the service.

As Mr. Jaideep Gupta, learned senior counsel being assisted by Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, learned counsel, has entered appearance on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 6, no further notice need be issued qua them.

(Gulshan Kumar Arora)  
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)  
Court Master