

ITEM NO.5+20

REVISED
COURT NO.9

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**CONMT.PET.(C) No.11/2022 in C.A. No.5090/2021 @ C.A. No.5065-5095/2021****SEEMA****Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****MAHAVIR SINGH & ORS.****Respondent(s)****(FOR ADMISSION)****WITH****CONMT.PET.(C) No. 888-918/2021 in C.A. No. 5065-5095/2021****(Contempt Petition (C) No.888-918 of 2021 and Contempt Petition (C) No.11 of 2022 are to be listed together as both arising out of same impugned judgment and in view of Record of proceedings dated 17.01.2022 and 21.01.2022 respectively.****IA No. 16462/2022 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS****IA No. 151123/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.****IA No. 16463/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.****IA No. 16458/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.****IA No. 20158/2022 - EXTENSION OF TIME****IA No. 16456/2022 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT****IA No. 151119/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)****Date : 16-02-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEET SARAN**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE**For Petitioner(s)** **Mr. Anil Hooda, Adv.**
Mr. Jitender Hooda, Adv.
Mr. Shafik Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Anchal, Adv.
Mr. Anuraag Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Mohan, Adv.
Ms. Anupama Singh, Adv.
Ms. Parul Priya, Adv.
Mr. Yadav Narender Singh, AOR**Mr. P.S. Patwalia, SR. Adv.**
Ms. Garima Bajaj, AOR
Ms. Naishika, Adv.**For Respondent(s)** **Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Sr. Adv. AAG**

Dr. Monika Gusain, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 11/2022 in C.A. No.5090/2021 @ C.A. No.5065-5095/2021

Issue notice, returnable on 15.03.2022

Ms. Monika Gusain, AOR accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/alleged contemnors.

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 888-918/2021 in C.A. No. 5065-5095/2021

By order dated 01.09.2021, this Court had directed that the concerned 90 candidates shall be appointed and placed just below the candidates who had already joined and the seniority of the 90 candidates shall be on the basis of the *inter se* merit among them. The appointments were to be made within four weeks from the date of the order dated 01.09.2021. Since the appointments were not made by the respondents/alleged contemnors, notice was issued to the respondents/alleged contemnors in these contempt petitions on 29.11.2021. The counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents/alleged contemnors in which they have stated that 35 candidates out of 90 have been offered appointments and that too only after the contempt notice was issued to the respondents/alleged contemnors. In the counter affidavit, nothing has been stated about what steps had been taken by the respondents/alleged contemnors within four weeks, which time was granted by this Court to them to appoint the said 90 candidates.

It is unfortunate that the candidates, who got favourable order of this Court, have to again rush to this Court to get the

order implemented. Since no explanation has been given by the respondents/alleged contemnors as to what steps they had taken within four weeks time which was granted to them and further the order has yet not been fully complied even after the issuance of the notice in these contempt petitions, we direct that the respondents/alleged contemnors be present personally on the next date of hearing so as to enable the Court, if necessary, to frame the charges.

List on 15.03.2022.

(ARJUN BISHT)
(COURT MASTER (SH))

(PRADEEP KUMAR)
(BRANCH OFFICER)

(ASHWANI THAKUR)
AR-CUM-PS

ITEM NO.5+20

COURT NO.9

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONMT.PET.(C) No.11/2022 in C.A. No.5065-5095/2021

SEEMA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAHAVIR SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION)

WITH

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 888-918/2021 in C.A. No. 5065-5095/2021

(Contempt Petition (C) No.888-918 of 2021 and Contempt Petition (C) No.11 of 2022 are to be listed together as both arising out of same impugned judgment and in view of Record of proceedings dated 17.01.2022 and 21.01.2022 respectively.

IA No. 16462/2022 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

IA No. 151123/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 16463/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 16458/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 20158/2022 - EXTENSION OF TIME

IA No. 16456/2022 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

IA No. 151119/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 16-02-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEET SARAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anil Hooda, Adv.
Mr. Jitender Hooda, Adv.
Mr. Shafik Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Anchal, Adv.
Mr. Anuraag Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Mohan, Adv.
Ms. Anupama Singh, Adv.
Ms. Parul Priya, Adv.
Mr. Yadav Narender Singh, AOR

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, SR. Adv.
Ms. Garima Bajaj, AOR
Ms. Naishika, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Sr. Adv. AAG
Dr. Monika Gusain, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 11/2022 in C.A. No. 5065-5095/2021

Issue notice, returnable on 15.03.2022

Ms. Monika Gusain, AOR accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/alleged contemnors.

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 888-918/2021 in C.A. No. 5065-5095/2021

By order dated 01.09.2021, this Court had directed that the concerned 90 candidates shall be appointed and placed just below the candidates who had already joined and the seniority of the 90 candidates shall be on the basis of the *inter se* merit among them. The appointments were to be made within four weeks from the date of the order dated 01.09.2021. Since the appointments were not made by the respondents/alleged contemnors, notice was issued to the respondents/alleged contemnors in these contempt petitions on 29.11.2021. The counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents/alleged contemnors in which they have stated that 35 candidates out of 90 have been offered appointments and that too only after the contempt notice was issued to the respondents/alleged contemnors. In the counter affidavit, nothing has been stated about what steps had been taken by the respondents/alleged contemnors within four weeks, which time was granted by this Court to them to appoint the said 90 candidates.

It is unfortunate that the candidates, who got favourable order of this Court, have to again rush to this Court to get the order implemented. Since no explanation has been given by the

respondents/alleged contemnors as to what steps they had taken within four weeks time which was granted to them and further the order has yet not been fully complied even after the issuance of the notice in these contempt petitions, we direct that the respondents/alleged contemnors be present personally on the next date of hearing so as to enable the Court, if necessary, to frame the charges.

List on 15.03.2022.

(ARJUN BISHT)
(COURT MASTER (SH))

(PRADEEP KUMAR)
(BRANCH OFFICER)

(ASHWANI THAKUR)
AR-CUM-PS