re

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1225 OF 2015 (ARISING OUT OF SLP(C) NO.9710/2014)

M/S LAKSHMI ENERGY & FOODS LTD.(LEAF)

Aр

pellant(s)

**VERSUS** 

PUNJAB STATE GRAIN PROCUREMENT CORP.LTD

Re

spondent(s)

ORDER

Leave granted.

Heard Mr. C.A. Sundaram, learned senior counsel

appearing for the appellant.

fact the order of the Registrar

dated

11.12.2014 discloses that the respondent failed to file

the counter affidavit in time. Today, the

representation for the respondent. The grievance of the

appellant is that, at the instance of the respondent an

Arbitrator was initially appointed, before

whom the

is

no

appellant contended that there is no clause

in the

Agreement for appointment of an Arbitrator, which was

sustained by the Arbitrator by his order dated 3.6.2013.

However, at the instance of the re

spondent,

without informing the appellant, the Second Arbitrator

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by Narendra Prasad

Date: 2015.01.29

16:42:35 IST

to have been appointed th stated and that

Second

Reason:

Arbitrator by his order dated 7.1.2014 rej

ected the

[ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE]

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/cnr/SCIN010096982014/truecopy/order-5.pdf

stand that there Clause appellant's was in the nο Agreement. It is also grievance of the appellant that the earlier order passed by the First Arbitrator dated 3.6.2013, by which the stand of the appellant sustained was ignored by the Second Arbitrator. It is as against the said order of the Second Arbitrator dated 7.1.2014, when the appellant moved the High Court by way Court of a revision, the High line order by a one declined to grant stay by rejecting the appellant's interim application in CM NO.4520-CH-2014 in CR No.384 of 2014.

1

As the revision is still pending, having regard to
the nature of the grievance expressed by the appellant,
in all fairness, the High Court should have examined the
said interim application by considering the points
raised for passing any order either to grant or not to
grant interim relief to the appellant.

In such circumstances, since the impugned order is
a non-speaking order and as we are convinced that the
grievances of the appellant are prima facie justified,
we are constrained to set aside the impugned order,
grant stay of the proceedings of the pending arbitration
proceedings until the revision before the High Court is
disposed of.

The order of the Second Arbitrator dated 7.1.2014

shall also remain stayed, pending disposal of the revision application.

With the above observations and directions the appeal stands disposed of.

[FAKKIR	MOHAMED	IBRAHIM	KALIFULLA
			J
[ABHAY ]	MANOHAR S	SAPRE]	

NEW DELHI; JANUARY 27, 2015.

ITEM NO.49

3 COURT NO.8

SECTION IVB

SUPREMECOURTOF

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)

No(s). 9710/2014

INDIA

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11/03/2014 in CM No. 4520/2014 in CR No. 384/2014 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh)

M/S LAKSHMI ENERGY & FOODS LTD.(LEAF)

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

PUNJAB STATE GRAIN PROCUREMENT CORP.LTD

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

Date: 27/01/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. C.A. Sundaram, Sr. Adv.

Mr. K.K. Khurana, Adv. A.K. Mehta,Adv. Mr.

Yash Pal Dhingra,Adv. Mr.

Mr. Umang Shankar, Adv. (Not Present) For Respondent(s)

> UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Leave granted.

The appeal stands disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(NARENDRA PRASAD) COURT MASTER

(SHARDA KAPOOR) COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)