

Item No.55 1

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 389/2016

THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S A.R. METALLURGICALS (P) LTD.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and interim relief)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 392/2016

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and)

SLP(C) No. 395/2016

Interim Relief)

SLP(C) No. 1232/2016

(With (With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Interim Relief)

SLP(C) No. 6735-6740/2016

Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 7559/2016

Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 9473/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 11156/2016

Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10126/2016

Interim Relief)

SLP(C) No. 11772/2016

Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 11145/2016

Item No.55 2

Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 11769/2016

Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 12787/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 14547/2016

Interim Relief)

SLP(C) No. 32127/2015

(With interim relief and office report)

Date : 22/09/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Sushma Verma,Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Akanksha Choudhary,Adv.

Ms. Uttara Babbar,Adv.

Ms. Neha Agarwal,Adv.

Mr. E. C. Agrawala,Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Amita Singh Kalkal,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) Nos. 7559,10126,11772,11145,11769,12787 and 14547/2016

Being complete matters. Place these matters before the Hon'ble Court.

SLP(C) No. 11156/2016

The Ld. Counsel for the sole respondent has failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Viewed, in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court, under the rules.

Item No.55 3

SLP(C) No. 392/2016

As per tracking report notice could not be served upon the sole respondent as he has â- SLeft without instructionsâ- \235, Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioner shall within a period of four weeks file the fresh particulars of the sole respondent and he shall also take fresh steps for the service of notice to him within the same period.

SLP(C) No. 389/2016

As per tracking report notice could not be served, the same has been received back â- Swithout any remarksâ- \235. Hence, learned counsel for the petitioner shall within a period of four weeks file the fresh particulars of the sole respondent and he shall also take fresh steps for the service of notice to him within the same period.

SLP(C) Nos. 395/2016

Await the return of the service of notice already issued to the respondent No. 2.

SLP(C) No. 1232/2016

Four weeks time, as last chance is given to the learned counsel for the petitioner to comply with the terms of the order dated 10.8.2016 of this Court.

SLP(C) No. 9473/2016

Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.1 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.

Four weeks time, as last chance is given to the learned counsel for the petitioner to comply with the terms of the order dated 10.8.2016 of this Court in respect of Respondent No.2.

Item No.55 4

SLP(C) Nos. 6735-6740/2016

Await the return of the service of notice already issued to the sole respondent.

SLP(C) No. 32127/2015

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the sole respondent shall be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioners within a period of four weeks.
List again on 8.11. 2016.

(M V RAMESH)
Registrar

MG