S U P R E M E C O U R T O F RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

RECORD OF PROCEEDIN

No(s).

WASIM AKRAM Appellant(s)

2265/2013

VERSUS

INDIA

R.KRISHNAIAH AND ORS Respondent(s

(office report on default)

Date: 25/02/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

India

)

Civil Appeal

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI [IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) Mr. Saren Naved, Adv.

Mr. Snehasish Mukherjee, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Sudha Pal, Adv.

Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, Adv.

Mr. S.Khosla, Adv.for M/s. Lawyer S Knit & Co.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

As per the office report dated 4.2.2015 learned

counsel for the petitioner was directed to furnish correct

and full address of respondent no.2 - Union of

India

represented by Secretary General Administration Department,

New Delhi. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

as per the address furnished in the writ petition the

second respondent described only as Union of

represented by Secretary General Administration Department,

Signature Not Verified

New Delhi, and that he is not in a position to ascertain

Digitally signed by Suman Wadhwa

Date: 2015.02.26

14:47:40 IST Reason:

Reason: whether the said Department exists or not and therefore not in a position to give the correct address of the second respondent.

-2-

Having regard to the submissions, the petitioner is directed to serve notice upon the Standing Counsel for the

Union of India on behalf of the second respondent.

Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

Two weeks' time is granted for filing the statement of case.

(SUMAN WADHWA) AR-cum-PS (SUMAN JAIN) COURT MASTER