```
\230ITEM NO.10+57
                                                  COURT NO.10
                                                                                         SECTION XIIA
                         SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                                      RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
   Item No.10:
   Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)...../2017
   (CC Nos.5877-5888/2017)
   (Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16/11/2016
   in WA Nos.12/2016, 123/2016, 13/2016, 135/2016, 14/2016, 15/2016, 16/2016, 17/2016, 22/2016, 25/2016, 259/2015 and 260/2015 passed by
   the High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad for the State of
Telangana and the State
STATE OF TELANGANA AND C

D. MAHESH KUMAR ETC ETC
(With appln. (s) for c/c
WITH
S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 6126/
(With appln. for permiss
   Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh)
  STATE OF TELANGANA AND ORS ETC ETC
                                                                                Petitioner(s)
                                                     VERSUS
                                                                                Respondent(s)
  (With appln. (s) for c/delay in filing slp)
   S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 6126/2017
   (With appln. for permission to file SLP and Office Report)
   With
   Item No.57:
   SLP(Civil)...CC Nos.6127-6128/2017
   (With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
   With
SLP(Civil)...CC No.6786/2017
(With appln. for permission to file SLP and office report)
SLP(Civil)...CC No.6811/2017
(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
SLP(Civil)...CC No.6813/2017
(With appln. for permission to file SLP and interim relief and office report)
SLP(Civil)...CC No.6812/2017
(With appln. for permission to file SLP and office report)
   SLP(Civil)...CC No.6786/2017
   SLP(Civil)...CC No.6812/2017
(With appln. for permission to file SLP and office report)
   Date: 31/03/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
   CORAM :
                  HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL
                  HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR
For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohatgi,AG
Mr. Ranjit Kumar,SG
Mr. Rama Krishna Reddi,Adv.General
Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar,Adv.
Mr. Vivek Reddy,Adv.
Mr. Piyush Dwivedi,Adv.
Mr. Parteek Dhir,Adv.
Por Pespondent(s) Mr. Krishnan Venugop.
   For Respondent(s) Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
   Mr. Ashish Rana, Adv.
   Mr. A.T.M.Sampath, Adv.
   Mr. Rahul Nagpal, Adv.
   Mr. Annam D.N.Rao, Adv.
   Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.
  Mr. Sudipto Sircar,Adv.
  Mr. Rahul Mishra,Adv.
                   UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                                              ORDER
  Permission is granted to
                                                    file special leave
   petitions.
   Delay condoned.
   Issue notice.
                                    learned counsel accepts
   Mr. Ashish Rana,
   behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 in Writ Appeal No.22 of
   2016.
   Counter affidavit be filed within four weeks.
          weeks' time thereafter is granted for filing
   Two
   rejoinder affidavit .
rejoinder affidavit .

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned Attorney General appearing on behalf of the petitioners states that if the final order which may be passed in these petitions against the petitioners and it is held that the r.

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/cnr/SCI
                                                                                                          goes
                                                                                                     new
```

```
i.e.
      Right
            to Fair Compensation
                                        and
                                              Transparency in Land
2
Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 is
applicable, then the petitioners shall
                                              pay the
                                                           enhanced
compensation along with the interest, as may be specified
by the Court.
Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, learne appearing for the respondents
                           learned senior
                                              counsel
                                   submitted that
possession has already been taken by the petitioners.
If that be so, the petitioners may continue with the
development.
The
    amount
              already deposited
                                   shall be
                                               paid
                                                     over
the claimants.
```

However, where the possession of the land of any respondent has not yet been taken over, the petitioners are permitted to take the possession of those lands and also deposit the entire amount as awarded under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, which amount shall be paid over to the respective claimants and the petitioners may continue with the development.

List these matters in the second week of July, 2017.

(Anita Malhotra) (Chander Bala )

Court Master Court Master