ITEM NO.14

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

COURT NO.8

1

SUPREMECOURTOF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 38054/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27/06/2012 in WA No. 1019/2012 passed by the High Court Of Kerala At Ernakulam)

STATE OF KERALA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

C.D. UDAYAKUMAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

SECTION XIA

(With appln(s) for intervention, prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) No. 37019/2012

(With prayer for and impleadment as party respondent and Prayer for Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10274/2014

(With prayer for Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10442/2014

(With prayer for Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10532-10535/2014

(With appln. for c/delay in filing slp and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10536-10539/2014

(With appln. for c/delay in filing slp and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10540-10543/2014

(With appln. for c/delay in filing slp and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10544-10547/2014

(With appln. for c/delay in filing slp and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 10953-10956/2014

(With appln. for c/delay in filing slp and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 12493-12496/2014

(With appln. for c/delay in filing slp and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 12499/2014

(With appln. for c/delay in filing slp and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 12500/2014

(With appln. for c/delay in filing slp and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 13930-13932/2014

(With appln. for c/delay in filing slp and and c/delay in refiling slp and Office Report)

Signature Not Verified

SLP(C) No. 14111-14113/2014

Digitally signed by

(With Office Report)

Naveen Kumar

Date: 2014.07.17

15:17:12 IST

Reason:

Date: 15/07/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA

For Petitioner(s)

This is a True Copy of C.U. Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr.

P.V. Dinesh, Adv. Mr.

Mr. K.R. Sunil, Adv.

Mr. Rishi K.S. Gautam, Adv. Mr. Dhaval Mehrotra, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R. , Adv.

For Respondent(s) R.3

Mr. P.K. Jayakishan, Adv.

Mr. B. Ramana Murthy ,Adv.

Mrs. V.P. Seemanthini, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Anu K. Joy, Adv.

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker , Adv.

Mr. James P. Thomas, Adv.

Mr. P. George Giri, Adv.

Mr. Anup Kumar ,Adv.

Mr. M. P. Vinod ,Adv.

Mr. Dileep Pillai, Adv.

Mr. Ajay K. Jain, Adv.

Ms. Neelam Saini, Adv.

Mr. M. Gireesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Simran P., Adv.

Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni ,Adv.

Mr. A. Raghunath, Adv.

Mr. Amit Tyagi, Adv.

Mr. Anuj Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.

Mr. S. Saini, Adv.

Mr. Anoop, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

Delay condoned.

Leave granted. Hearing expedited.

However, our grant of leave will not be a hurdle for

the High Court to decide the applications for review filed by Employees Provident Fund Organisation (EPFO). The High Court is requested to dispose of the applications for review within two months on its own merits. It is open to the parties to assail the order passed in the review, if they are affected.

(NAVEEN KUMAR) COURT MASTER

SLP 10442/14

(RENUKA SADANA) COURT MASTER