

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 183 OF 2013  
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K. HANJURA

MANISH KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 04/04/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Petitioner-In-Person,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Santosh Kumar,adv.  
Mr. Ashok Panigrahi ,Adv  
Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran ,Adv  
Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat ,Adv  
Ms.Shubhra,adv.  
Mr. Gopal Singh ,Adv.  
Mr.Lagnesh Mishra,adv.  
Mr. V.N. Raghupathy ,Adv  
Mr. Mishra Saurabh ,Adv  
Mr. Om Prakash,adv.  
Mr. D.S. Mahra ,Adv  
Mr.Rudreshwar Singh,adv.  
Mr. Samir Ali Khan ,Adv  
Ms.Vartika Sahay Walia,adv.  
M/S Corporate Law Group ,Adv  
Mr. Milind Kumar ,Adv.  
Mr.Saakaar Sardana,adv.  
Mr. Anip Sachthey ,Adv  
Mr.K.V. Jagdishvaran,adv.  
Ms. G. Indira ,Adv

Mr.Manjit Singh,adv.  
Mr.Kamal Mohan Gupta,adv.

Mr.Anil Shrivastav,adv.  
Mr.Rituraj Biswas,adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....2

ITEM NO.14

-2-

Petitioner is present in person. The  
Ld.counsel for the respondent Nos.21, 30,32, 33 & the  
State of Karnataka are present.

Ld.counsel for the State of Karnataka seeks  
and is granted four weeks time as last chance for  
filing the counter affidavit.

What gets revealed from the perusal of the  
office report is that the service of notice is  
incomplete on the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3, 6,11, 12, 27,  
28, 34 and 36. Ld.counsel for the petitioner is  
directed to take fresh steps for the service of notice  
by usual mode to them. He shall do the needful within a  
period of two weeks, failing which appropriate orders  
shall follow on the next date. Dasti in addition is

permitted to be served.  
List again on 30.07.2014.

(M.K.HANJURA)  
Registrar

SB