S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).6578-6583/2008

(From the judgement and order(s) dated 12-OCT-07 in SA No. 314/1994 and SA No. 315/1994 and SA No. 41/1997 and SA No. 42/1997 and SA No. 690/1998 and SA No. 691/1998 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

V. KALYANASWAMY (D) BY LRS. & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

L. BAKTHAVATSALAM (D) THR. LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substitution, impleadment, permission to file additional documents and prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 7697-7702 of 2008

(With appln. (s) for impleadment and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 7980-7985 of 2008

(With appln. (s) for bringing Lrs on record and impleadment and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 7990-7995 of 2008

(With appln. (s) for substituted service and exemption from filing O.T. and impleadment and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 9656-9661 of 2008

(With appln. (s) for impleadment)

Date: 23/08/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.M. PANCHAL HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. K

Mr. K. V. Viswanathan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. B. Ragunath, Adv. Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. R.N. Keshwani, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Prakash Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

- 2 -

Ms. Anjali Chauhan, Adv.

Ms. Seema Singh Shukla, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. Balachandran, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Mani ,Adv

Mr. Abhishek Krishna, Adv.

Mr. K. Swami, Adv. Mrs. Prabha Swami, Adv

Ms. Srikala Gurukrishna Kumar ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

ORDER

Perused the letter.

Four weeks time, as prayed for, is granted to enable the learned counsel for the respondent No.41 to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter.

The office report dated 19.8.2011 mentions that in SLP (C) Nos.6578-6583/2008, service of notice is not complete upon respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 to 7, 16, 21, 26, 28 and 29 in SLP e is not Nos.7697whereas (C) service of notice 7702/2008, complete upon respondent Nos. 4 to 6, 21, 26 and 28 whereas in SLP (C) Nos.7980-7985/2008, service of notice not respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 to 6, 15, 20, complete upon 25, 27 and 28 whereas in SLP (C) Nos.7990-7995/2008, service of notice is not complete upon respondent Nos.1, 19, 24, 29 and 33 whereas in SLP (C) $\,$ Nos.9656is 9661/2008, service of notice not complete upon respondent Nos.1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10 to 12, 14 to 21, 25 legal representative Nos. (i) and (iii) deceased respondent No.26. - 3 -

(C) responds substantial company of the respondence The learned counsel for the petitioners in SLP Nos.6578-6583/2008, prays to permit that unserved respondents be permitted to be served by way of substituted service. His prayer is accepted. In rest of the matters, the petitioners are directed to take steps for the purpose of effecting service upon those respondents who are not served within four weeks from today.

In view of first part of this matter, list the matters after eight weeks.

(Neetu Sachdeva) Sr. P. A.

(Sneh Bala Mehra) Court Master