SUPREMECOURTOF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

COURT NO.9

Civil Appeal No(s).D 16462/2014

M/S SUPER INDUSTRIES

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

COMPETITION COMMISSION OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for condonation of delay in filing appeal and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 5832-5833/2014

(With prayer for Office Report)

C.A. No. 5993-5994/2014

(With appln.(s) for and exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and Office Report)

C.A. D 12735/2014

(With appln.(s) for permission to file lengthy list of dates and

Office Report)

C.A. D 15839/2014 (With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing petition of appeal and

Office Report)

C.A. D 15840/2014

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing appeal and Office Report)

C.A. D 16461/2014 (With appln.(s) for condonation of delay in filing appeal and

Office Report)

C.A. D 16466/2014

(With appln.(s) for condonation of delay in filing appeal and

Office Report)

C.A. D 16468/2014

(With prayer for and condonation of delay in filing appeal

WITH

C.A.NO.6025/2014

(With appln.(s) for permission to file lengthy list of dates and

office report)

C.A. No.6033/2014

(With appln.(s) for ad-interim ex-parte stay and office report)

C.A. D.No.15825/2014

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing appeal and office report)

C.A. D.No.15830/2014

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing appeal and office report)

C.A. D.No.15838/2014

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing appeal and office report)

Om Parkash Sharma

Date: 2014.07.12

15:29:31 IST

C.A. D.No.15934/2014

Reason:

(With office report)

1

Date: 11/07/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

List

and

tag

```
For Appellant(s)
                                 Mr.
                                       Atul Nanda, Sr. Adv.
                                 Mr.
                                       Saiveer Shergill, Adv.
                                 Mr.
                                       Ankur Sood, Adv.
                                       K. Ray, Adv.
                                 Mr.
                                       Pallavi Langar ,Adv.
                                 {\tt Ms.}
                                       Pradeep Aggaral, Adv.
                                 Mr.
                                       Lal Pratap Singh, Adv.
                                 Mr.
                                       Umesh Pratap Singh, Adv.
                                 Mr.
                                       Rajesh Agarwal, Adv.
                                       Shobhit Tiwari, Adv.
                                 Mr.
                                       Ruchi Kohli ,Adv.
                                 Ms.
                                 Mr. B. Vijay Kumar , Adv.
                                 Mr.
                                       Annam D.N. Rao, Adv.
                                       Sudipto Sircar, Adv.
                                 Mr.
                                 Mr.
                                       annam Venkatesh, Av.
                                 Ms.
                                       Vaishali, Adv.
                                 Ms.
                                       Neelam jain, Adv.
For Respondent(s)
            UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                                ORDER
            Delay condoned.
                     are
                              admitted
                                            limited
                                                              the
                                                                     order
            Appeals
                                                       to
                                                                              passed
paragraph 61(1) of the impugned judgment, at this stage.
            We are passing this order of partial admission of these
appeals as, in our opinion, during the pendency of the remand, both
the sides are sufficiently protected.
            We direct the Competition Commission of India to decide
the penalty within a period of three months from today.
In the event, a different penalty is Competition Commission of India, the same shall be subject to the
                                                                                  by
                                                                                          the
result of the present appeals.
```

matters.
[O.P. SHARMA] [INDU BALA KAPUR]
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER

with C.A.

No.3546/2014

and

2

connected