

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA**  
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS. OF 2025**  
**(Arising out of SLP(Crl.) Nos.3629-3630 of 2025)**

**ANAMCHINNI VENKATESHWAR RAO**

**APPELLANT**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND OTHERS**

**RESPONDENTS**

**R1 : THE STATE OF TELANGANA**

**R2 : THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE**

**R3 : THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE**

**R4 : THE ASI**

**R5 : THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE**

**R6 : MELLACHERUVU UMAMAHESWARA RAO**

**O R D E R**

Heard learned counsel for the parties. However, nobody has appeared on behalf of the respondent no.6-complainant.

2. Leave granted.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the parties have compromised and the matter has been settled and that is the reason, there is no representation on

behalf of respondent no.6 despite valid service of notice.

4. It was submitted that much before the impugned judgment, the matter was compromised and settled by way of Memorandum of Understanding dated 20.05.2022 between the parties. It was submitted that based on the said Memorandum of Understanding, the Division Bench of the Telangana High Court in Appeal Suit No.1154 of 2017 by judgment dated 09.01.2025 disposed of the appeal in terms of said settlement dated 20.05.2022.

5. Learned counsel submitted that the impugned order has been passed on the ground that O.S. No.32 of 2009 was still pending with regard to very same subject land and thus it was not a fit case to quash the criminal proceeding in Crime No.281 of 2013 filed before the Police Station Khammam I Town, Telangana. The Contempt Case No.634 of 2018 which had been filed by the petitioner alleging willful violation of the interim Order dated 04.09.2013 in Criminal Petition No. 9365 of 2013 was also dismissed.

6. Thus, learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the matter having been compromised, the criminal case be quashed.

7. Learned counsel for the respondent no.1-State submits that from the material on record, it appears that the parties

have compromised.

8. Though we cannot be completely sure with regard to the veracity of the compromise, since even before the High Court, in Criminal Petition No.9365 of 2013 with Contempt Case No.634 of 2018, respondent no.6 had not appeared, but in view of the respondent no.1-State also, not denying such compromise, we are proceeding to pass the order.

9. Having regard to the fact that ultimately, it was a private dispute between the parties and they have compromised among themselves, the ends of justice, would require that the matter is brought to a quietus.

10. Accordingly, in view of the compromise settlement, which has already been given effect to and even the civil suit has been disposed of in light of the same, the present appeals stand allowed. The FIR No.281 of 2013 dated 15.08.2013, registered at Police Station Khammam I Town, Telangana stands quashed.

11. Before parting, it is made clear that it shall be open to respondent no.6 to move before this Court in case, the materials which have been brought on record and on the basis of which, this Court has passed the present order is found to be forged, fabricated or not operative.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
[AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH]

.....J.  
[PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA]

NEW DELHI  
20<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2025

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.16

SECTION II

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).3629-3630/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-10-2024 in CRLP No.9365/2013 and CC No.634/2018 passed by the High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad]

ANAMCHINNI VENKATESHWAR RAO

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF TELANGANA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 52894/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 52892/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 52893/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 52889/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 20-05-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dama Seshadri Naidu, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Vidhi Pankaj Thaker, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR  
Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.

Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv.  
Mr. Prashant Kumar Tyagi, Adv.  
Mr. P. Srinivas Reddy, Adv.

For M/s Venkat Palwai Law Associates, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Leave granted.

3. The appeals stand allowed in terms of the signed order.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SAPNA BISHT)

COURT MASTER (SH)

(Signed order is placed on the file)

(ANJALI PANWAR)

COURT MASTER (NSH)