

1

ITEM NO.2 COURT NO.6 SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 7556/2014  
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16/12/2013  
in WA No. 956/2012 passed by the High Court Of Kerala At  
Ernakulam)

JIJI K.S. AND ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

L. B. S. CENTER FOR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

Respondent(s)

(With appln. For Impleadment and Interim Relief and office Report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 28965/2014

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 28968/2014

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 36486/2014

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 36482-36483/2014

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 6952/2015

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 6953/2015

(With appln.(s) for deletion of proforma respondents and Office  
Report)

SLP(C) No. 6951/2015

(With appln.(s) for deletion of proforma respondents and Office  
Report)

Date : 10/02/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

2

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mathai M. Paikaday, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Anas Shammad, Adv.

Mr. K. Ramesh, Adv.

Mr. Shishir Pinaki, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Dileep Pillai, Adv.

Mr. Ajay K. Jain, Adv.

Mr. Atul Shankar Vinod, Adv.

Mr. M. P. Vinod, Adv.

Mr. Roy Abraham, Adv.

Ms. Reena Roy, Adv.

Ms. Seema Jain, Adv.

Ms. Chandrani Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Himinder Lal, Adv.

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R., Adv.

Ms. V.P. Seemanthnani, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Aljo K. Joseph, Adv.

Mrs. Sudha Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Shelna K., Adv.

Mr. Abid Ali Beeran P, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Anil Soni, Adv.

Mr. Prateek Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. D. Mohan, Adv.

Mr. M.T. George, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Service is not complete in many of the connected

Special Leave Petitions. Ms. V.P. Seemanthnani, learned Senior counsel makes a statement that all the Special Leave Petitions are intrinsically connected and, therefore, in the absence of unserved respondents, these

3

Special Leave Petitions cannot be heard.

As far as the direction in our earlier Order dated 17<sup>th</sup>

November, 2015 is concerned, learned counsel appearing for the All India Council of Technical Education (AICTE) submitted that he has to file a convenience volume incorporated all the norms, guidelines, circulars and regulations issued and framed by AICTE from time to time.

Ms. V.P. Seemanthnani, learned Senior counsel also state that whatever left out by AICTE in the convenience volume have been included in their compilation. Both the compilation shall be allowed to be filed.

Two weeks' time is granted to the Petitioner for effecting service on all the unserved respondents in the connected Special Leave Petitions. Petitioner is also directed to serve notice on the counsel appearing for the common respondents in these Special Leave Petitions. Dasti, as prayed for, is also permitted.

List on 24<sup>th</sup>

February, 2016.

Interim Order is extended till the next date of hearing.

(NEELAM GULATI)

COURT MASTER

(SHARDA KAPOOR)

COURT MASTER