```
\2261
ITEM NO.34
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C).........of 2016
(CC No.17125/2016)
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28/09/2015
in CO No. 2764/2015 passed by the High Court of Calcutta)
SOMNATH DAS
RITUPARNA DAS
(With appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP)
Date: 19/09/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.
CORAM : HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
For Petitioner(s) Mr. R. K. Sing, Adv.
Mr. A. Mangalasserry, Adv.
Mr. Virag Gupta, Adv.
For Respondent(s)
Delay condoned.
The petitioner is aggrieved by two orders to
maintenance, one, as per the proceedings under Section 125 of Code
of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and another, under Section
Hindu Marriage Act,1955.
Section 12\overline{5} Cr.P.C. proceedings were initiated earlier and learned Magistrate had directed payment and maintenance
Rs.3000/- for the wife and Rs.2000/- for the child.
Thereafter, in the proceedings under Section 24 of the Hi
Marriage Act, the same amount was awarded with the rider
Marriage Act, the same amount was awar amount awarded by the Magistrate under proceedings would be deducted. That was
respondent in the High Court. The High Court has vacated that rider
and resultantly, the petitioner has to pay maintenance to his wife
```

```
and
            child in two proceedings, one, under Section 125 Cr.P.C.
other under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act.
Under Section 127(2) of the Cr.P.C., in case, it appears to
              Magistrate that in consequence of any decision of the Civil
Court, any order made under Section 125 Cr.P.C. should be cancelled
                                   he has
                                                                   cancel or vary
                                                                                                                                             as the
or varied,
                                                         to
                                                                                                                  the order
warrants.
Under Section 127(4) Cr.P.C., at the time of making a decree
          the recovery of any maintenance by any person to whom monthly
allowance has been ordered to be paid under Section 125, the Civil
Court should take into account that sum which has been paid or
recovered, and that was what precisely done by the Civil Court
while granting maintenance under Section 24. Unfortunately, this
crucial aspect has missed the notice of the High Court.
In view of the above circumstances, we feel
                                                                                                                            that the High
court needs to consider the matter afresh. We permit the petitioner
        file a review in the lines we have indicated above,
                                                                                                                                                                  within
period of 30 days from today before the High Court and the High Court to dispose of the review on merits three thereafter. Until the review is disposed, of subject to the terms of the ter
                                                                                                                                                                we request months
                                                                                                                                                 three
petitioner filing the review within one month from today, impugned
order passed by the High Court shall stand deferred.
                                                                  the Special Leave Petition is disposed
                                 the above,
        view of
of.
                    (Rashmi Dhyani)
                                                                        (Renu Diwan )
                       SR. P.A. ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
```

the Magistrate under Section 125 Cr.P.C.

COURT NO.10

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

VERSUS

HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

ORDER

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

SECTION XVI

Respondent(s)

challenged by

24 of

the