

ITEM NO.4+22 Court 3 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION XII

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 6727/2021**

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-03-2021 in OSA No. 76/2021 04-03-2021 in OSA No. 77/2021 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Madras)

**THE IDOL OF SRI PRASANNA VENKATESA PERUMAL THIRUKOVILPetitioner(s)****VERSUS****SRI PRASANNA VENKATA NARASIMHA PERUMAL TEMPLE & ORS.Respondent(s)**

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.40538/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.40539/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.40540/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT and IA No.40537/2021-PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION (SLP/TP/WP/..) )

**ITEM NO.22****SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 7946/2021**

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.45159/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.45161/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.45157/2021-PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION (SLP/TP/WP/..) and IA No.45158/2021-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES )

Date : 25-03-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

**CORAM :**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH**

**For Petitioner(s)**      **Mr. Sharath Chandra, Advocate**  
                                  **Mr. D. Durga Devi, Advocate**  
                                  **Mr. Mani Bhushan Sinha, Advocate,**

**Mr.Sharath Chandra, Advocate**  
**Mr. D. Durga Devi, Advocate**  
**Mr.Mani Bhushan Sinha, Advocate,**  
**Mr. Pranab Prakash, AOR**

**Ms. Lakshmi Ramamurthy, AOR****For Respondent(s)**

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 7946/2021

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for and is granted liberty to withdraw this petition.

The SLP is dismissed as withdrawn.

Diary No(s). 6727/2021

Mr. Sharath Chandran, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the concerned proceedings leading to the challenge before the High Court were initiated in respect of respondent No.1 and at no stage any grievance was made in respect of the instant petitioner. Mr. Chandran, submits that both the temples are completely distinct and have nothing to do with each other, but the final direction issued by the High Court covers the petitioner.

Permission to file SLP is granted.

Issue notice confined to the submission advanced by Mr. Chandran.

Let notice be returnable on 26.04.2021

Dasti in addition.

Pending further consideration, the effect and operation of the direction issued by the High Court to the extent it concerns Sri Prasanna Venkatesa Perumal Temple, Mettupalayam, West Mambalam, Chennai, shall remain stayed.

(INDU MARWAH)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIRENDER SINGH)  
BRANCH OFFICER