

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SANJIV JAIN

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).7844/2012

K. PRAMILA SUHASINI DEVI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

G. NAGA VENKATASWAMY NAIDU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substituted service and prayer for interim relief)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 9632-9633 of 2012

(With office report)

Date: 05/03/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. A. Subba Rao, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Filza Moonis, Adv.

Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) No.7844/2012

The office report indicates that the petitioner has not filed proof of service of set of pleadings on the learned counsel for respondent Nos.16, 17, 20 and 21 due to which counter affidavit could not be filed. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that copies of the pleadings have been served but proof of service has not been filed. Let it be filed.

Item No.32

-2-

Four weeks' time is granted to respondent No.25 to file counter affidavit.

As per the Cause Title, unserved respondent Nos.12 and 18 are the residents of United States of America. Fresh steps be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner for the service on respondent Nos.12 and 18 as per the Government O.M. No.F.12(77)/10-Judl dated 18.8.2011.

Service is complete on respondent Nos.1 to 11, 13 to 15, 19, 22 to 24 and 26 to 31 but none has appeared nor any steps have been taken on their behalf.

SLP(C) NO. 9632-9633 of 2012

The office report indicates that respondent Nos.20, 26 and 28 are the residents of United States of America. Fresh steps be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner for the service on respondent Nos.20, 26 and 28 as per the Government O.M. No.F.12(77)/10-Judl dated 18.8.2011.

Service is complete on the remaining respondents.

List again on 15.7.2014.

(SANJIV JAIN)
REGISTRAR

rd