

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18047/2013
(From the judgement and order dated 25/04/2013 in WA No.1665/2012 of The
HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

APSRTC REP.BY CHAIRMAN & M.D. & ORS.
VERSUS

Petitioner(s)

A.U.M.RAO

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

SLP(C) NO. 18053 of 2013

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and
with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO.....CC 16871 of 2013

(With I.A. No.1 for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

SLP(C) NO.....CC 16651 of 2013

(With I.A. No.1 for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 29640 of 2013

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and
with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 29648 of 2013

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and
with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO.....CC 16938 of 2013

(With I.A. No.1 for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

SLP(C) NO.....CC 17547 of 2013

(With appln(s) for c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

SLP(C) No.26347/2013

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment and
prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 27122 of 2013

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment and
prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 25/10/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. L. Nageshwar Rao, ASG

Mr. R, Santhan Krihsnan, Adv.

Mr. Pravaeen Kumar Pandey, Adv.

Mr. C.S.N. Mohan Rao, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

...2/-

:2:

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard Mr. L. Nageshwar Rao learned Additional Solicitor General for the petitioners. He states that some two thousands contract employees who faced disciplinary proceedings are involved in similar matters. It is, therefore, desirable that the Trade Unions or Associations of the employees to join as respondents so that the decision binding on them could be arrived. He also states that more than seventy contempt petitions have been moved against the petitioners. That being so, he seeks time to file an appropriate I.A. to seek stay of those contempt proceedings as well

as to join Trade Unions representing the workmen.

List on 18th November, 2013.

Mr. Rao further states that the petitioners will as well serve the proposed respondent Unions in advance.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
A.R-cum-P.S.

[Sneh Lata Sharma]
Court Master