

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.11

SECTION IV-B

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 4950/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-11-2021 in CWP No. 18559/2016 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana At Chandigarh)

RESIDENTS WELFARE ASSOCIATION &amp; ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 141137/2022 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION, IA No. 56308/2022 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 58235/2022 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 5489/2022 (IV-B)  
(IA No. 45754/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 121700/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES AND IA No. 45755/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

Date : 01-11-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.R. GAVAI  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B.V. NAGARATHNA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan, AOR  
Mr. Gaurav Patwalia, Adv.  
Ms. Harshika Verma, Adv.

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Natasha Dalmia, AOR  
Ms. Harshika Verma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ajay Tewari, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR  
Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.  
Mr. Shree Pal Singh, AOR  
Ms. Sanya Kaushal, Adv.  
Mr. Sidhant Saroha, Adv.

Mr. Reshabh Bajaj, Adv.  
Mr. Vardaan Seth, Adv.

Mr. K.M. Nataraj, ASG  
Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhanian, Adv.  
Mr. Vatsal Joshi, Adv.  
Mr. Mohd. Akhil, Adv.  
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Misha Rohatgi, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

In a serious matter pertaining to construction in the City of Chandigarh, these matters were specially fixed for hearing today and directed to be treated as part-heard. There was no representation in the morning session on behalf of the Union Territory of Chandigarh.

Since, Shri K.M. Nataraj, learned Additional Solicitor General had appeared on an earlier occasion, his name was flashed on the display Board.

When Mr. Nataraj came to the Court, he informed us that he has not been instructed to appear in these matters.

We find the approach of the administration to be totally callous and irresponsible in dealing with such important litigation.

We, therefore, direct the Estate Officer, Union Territory of Chandigarh to remain present in Court tomorrow, i.e. 02.11.2022 at 11 a.m. and show cause as to why action should not be taken for dealing with such an important matter in a callous manner.

The Registrar(Judl.) of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana

is directed to communicate this order to the Estate Officer, Union Territory of Chandigarh.

For further arguments, list these matters tomorrow, i.e. 02.11.2022 as part heard matter at serial No.1.

(DEEPAK SINGH)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJU KAPOOR)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)