

\$ ITEM NO.12 REGISTRAR COURT. 2 SECTION III
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 3111/2012

DESIGNATED AUTH.DIR.GEN.ANTI DUMPING

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S ALLIED ENTERPRISES

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 3112/2012
(With Office Report)
C.A. No. 3113/2012
(With Office Report)
C.A. No. 3114/2012
(With Office Report)
C.A. No. 3129/2012
(With Office Report)
C.A. No. 3130/2012
(With Office Report)
C.A. No. 3134/2012
(With Office Report)
C.A. No. 3136/2012
(With Office Report)
C.A. No. 3138/2012
(With Office Report)
C.A. No. 3140/2012
(With Office Report)

Date : 05/12/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Rani Singh, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

For Respondent(s)

Digitally signed by
Madhu Grover
Date: 2014.12.06
12:50:04 IST
Reason:

M/s. AP & J Chambers, Adv.
Mr. Harish Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Kshitiz Karjee, Adv.

Contd...2.

Item No. 12 - 2 -

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

C.A. No. 3111/2012

Learned Counsel for the appellant shall file the appropriate proof of the service of the notice already issued to the respondent-company within a period of three weeks failing which appropriate orders shall follow on the next date.
List on 19.02.2015.

C.A. No. 3112/2012

The office report indicates that the appellants have already filed the Statement of Case. The office report proceeds to state that the service of the notice is complete on the respondents but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the Rules.

C.A. No. 3138/2012

The office report states that the appellant has failed to file the statement of case although he has been notified to do so by notice dated 13.12.2012 of the Registry. Service of notice is complete on the respondents but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

Contd....3.

Item No.12 - 3 -

C.A. No. 3140/2012

Learned Counsel for the appellant shall comply with the terms of the office report within a period of three weeks, whereafter no further extension shall be provided.
List on 19.02.2015.

C.A. Nos. 3113, 3114, 3130, 3134 and 3136 of 2012

The Office Report states that although by Order dated 17.09.2014 of this Court three weeks time, as last chance, was given to the learned Counsel for the appellants for taking fresh steps for the service of the notice to the unserved respondents yet he has not done the needful so far. Therefore, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders and List thereafter.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

PS