

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6298 OF 2012

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

M/S IDEA CELLULAR LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DESIGNATED AUTH.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned order)

Date: 12/02/2014 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Shankey Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. G. Umapathy, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. M.P. Devanath, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The learned Advocate, Mr. Shankey Agarwal, appearing on behalf of Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate-on-Record for the petitioner has invited my attention to an order passed by this Court on 17.4.2012 whereby notices on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 were accepted and undertaking was given to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit but it seems that till date no steps seems to have been taken by them. They are ordered to proceed ex parte.

Item No.32

-2-

Respondent Nos.3 and 4 are represented through the learned Advocates, Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma and Mr. M.P. Devanath respectively.

The concerned Branch is directed to be very careful and cautious in future while preparing the office report as a totally incorrect report has been submitted before the Court which, if the record is not checked, results into incorrect orders being passed by this Court.

Registry to verify whether the original record has been received or not. On verification, parties to file their statement of cases in consonance with the provisions contained in Order XV Rule 35 of the Supreme Court Rules, 1966.

List again on 24.4.2014.

(M.A. SAYEED)

REGISTRAR

rd