

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 46-53/2013 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).6466-6469/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 21/10/2011 in WP No.42424/2011, WP No.42439/2011,WP No.45777/2011,WP No.45779/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

RAJESH & ORS.ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for intervention,impleadment and office report)

Date: 04/10/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA
(In Chambers)

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Veera Kaul Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

M/S. Lawyer'S Knit & Co.

Mr. A.Ramesh, Adv.

Mr. C.S.N. Mohan Rao, Adv.

Mr. Y. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Manju Jana, Adv.

Ms. Shilpi, Adv.

Mr. Gyanendra Singh, Adv.

Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Though in these applications, notice was duly served on the petitioner, there is no representation on their behalf. According to the applicants, they were the allottees by the 2nd respondent, who have developed the property which was acquired by the petitioner. They being necessary and proper parties, their rights would get seriously impinged in the event of any order being passed prejudicial to their interest.

Accordingly, these applications are allowed and applicants are impleaded as party respondents. Necessary amendment to be carried out.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(INDU BALA KAPUR)
COURT MASTER