

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 3681-3682/2021

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 18-02-2021 in CWP No. 11539/2020 18-02-2021 in CWP No. 11688/2020 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

GURJEET SINGH JOHAR & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 93377/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION

IA No. 29753/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 142711/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 32455/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ ANNEXURES

IA No. 29755/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 3797/2021 (IV-B)

(IA No. 93385/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION

IA No. 30944/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

SLP(C) No. 7230/2021 (XVII-A)

(IA No. 61920/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 20-11-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sahil Bhalaik, AOR
Mr. Tushar Giri, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Anil Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Ritik Arora, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Bhandari, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Rooh-e-hina Dua, AOR
Mr. Arav Pandit, Adv.
Mr. Harshit Khanduja, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. Lakshmi Kantha Rao, Adv.
Mr. A. Lakshminarayanan, AOR

Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

Mr. K.M. Nataraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv.
Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Adv.
Ms. Preeti Rani, Adv.
Mr. Saurav Mishra, Adv.
Mr. T.s.sabarish, Adv.
Mr. Pratyush Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Jagdish Chandra, Adv.
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR

Mr. Ronak Karanpuria, AOR

Mr. M.L. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sushil K. Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Minakshi Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Surender Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. Subhasish Bhowmick, AOR

Mr. Kundan Kumar Mishra, AOR
Mr. Ratnesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Binish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. H.K. Monga, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Monga, Adv.
Ms. Megha Gaur, Adv.
Mr. Vibhav Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Parmanand Gaur, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP (Civil) Nos. 3681-3682 of 2021 and 3797 of 2021

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner Ms. Dhanakshi Gandhi prays for adjournment.
2. On the other hand, Mr. Kundan Kumar Mishra, learned counsel representing the original complainant before the State Commission submits that the present matter has become infructuous since the

Corporate Debtor was not made a party here and the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code proceedings initiated for the Company, has culminated in the order dated 19.10.2018 by the National Company Law Tribunal, Chandigarh and consequential proceedings are now pending before the same forum where various applications were filed by different parties.

3. The matters, as prayed by the petitioners counsel, stand adjourned by four weeks.

SLP (Civil) No. 7230 of 2021

1. Mr. Tushar Giri, learned counsel appears for the petitioner.
2. Mr. V. Ramasubramanian, learned counsel for the respondents in his turn submits that a bail application was filed before the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum in Bangalore in EP No. 379 of 2018 and the same was rightly ordered on 10.05.2021 recording the following order:

"On being questioned the learned counsel for the said Jdr/accused submits that she has no idea in respect of establishing the High Power Committee (HPC). Hence, prays time for one week. This Commission so far not received any intimation from the Hon'ble National Commission/State Commission. In this record considering request of the learned counsel for the accused/Jdr one week time is granted. As Accused/Jdr is not produced, hence issue intimation to the Jail Authorities to produce the said accused/Jdr on 24/05/2021 before this Commission till then here judicial custody is extended.

Call on 17/05/2021 for filing objections to the bail application by the Dhr. The office is directed to inform jail authorities through telephone message/ E-

mail and also sending hard copy of the intimation to produce the accused/Jdr on 24/05/2021."

3. It is accordingly submitted by Mr. Ramasubramanian that challenge under Article 136, to the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum at Bangalore, is not at all maintainable.

4. Having considered the above and also the submission made by Mr. Tushar Giri, learned counsel for the petitioner who submits that he has no instruction from his client, the matter stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand closed.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(KAMLESH RAWAT)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR