

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (CrI.) No(s).1484-1496/2024

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-01-2024 in WPCRL Nos.244/2024, 3762/2023, 27/2024, 48/2024, 52/2024, 70/2024, 3780/2023, 3803/2023, 3820/2023, 3827/2023, 3828/2023, 3836/2023 and 245/2024 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

SATENDER SINGH ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)

Respondent(s)

**(IA No. 7358/2025 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 280837/2024 - DISCHARGE OF ADVOCATE ON RECORD
IA No. 23671/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT
IA No. 280854/2024 - INTERIM BAIL
IA No. 258063/2024 - INTERIM BAIL
IA No. 23670/2024 - STAY APPLICATION
IA No. 115899/2024 - STAY APPLICATION)**

**WITH SLP(CrI) No. 1985-1987/2024
(FOR ADMISSION and I.R.
IA No. 6434/2025 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS
IA No. 33592/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT
IA No. 33590/2024 - STAY APPLICATION)**

Date : 21-02-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN**

For Petitioner(s) :

**Mr. Hemendra Jailiya, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Baranwal, Adv.
Mr. Varun Mishra, AOR**

**Ms. Ansuiya, Adv.
Mr. Prem Malhotra, AOR**

Ms. Vrinda Bhandari, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Mrs. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
Mr. Anuj Srinivas Udupa, Adv.
Mr. Madhav Sinhal, Adv.
Mr. Jagdish Chandra Solanki, Adv
Ms. Radhika Misra, Adv.
Mr. Sunit Chowdhury, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(Criminal)Nos.1484-1496 of 2024

The learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the respondent-State has tendered a chart on record which shows that petitioner Nos. 7, 11 and 12 have been granted the benefit of premature release and they have been released. The cases of petitioner Nos. 1 to 6 and 8 to 10 were considered and rejected. As far as petitioner No.13 is concerned, the case has been deferred. Even the minutes of the Sentence Review Board are placed on record and copies thereof have been supplied to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

It will be open for the petitioner Nos. 1 to 6 and 8 to 10 to challenge the orders of rejection in accordance with law.

As far as petitioner No.13 is concerned, the learned Additional Solicitor General states that his case will be considered in the next meeting of the Sentence Review Board.

We take the assurance on record.

The order passed on the basis of recommendations of the Sentence Review Board be communicated to petitioner No.13.

As the petitioners whose prayer has been rejected are accused in different cases, the challenge will have to be made by filing separate proceedings.

Subject to what is observed above, the Special Leave Petitions are disposed of.

Pending applications also stand disposed of.

SLP(Criminal)Nos.1985-1987 of 2024

The chart tendered across the Bar shows that petitioner Nos.4, 14, 15, 19 and 21 have been granted the benefit of premature release and they have been already released. The case of petitioner No.13 is deferred. The learned Additional Solicitor General, on instructions, states that the deferred case will be considered in the next meeting of the Sentence Review Board.

We take the assurance on record.

We direct the respondent to communicate the decision taken on the basis of recommendations of the Sentence Review Board to petitioner No.13.

In case of rest of the petitioners, the prayer for premature release has been rejected. It will be open for them to challenge the said order in accordance with law.

Subject to what is observed above, the Special Leave Petitions are disposed of.

As the petitioners whose prayer for premature release has been rejected, are accused in different cases, the challenge will have to be made by filing separate proceedings.

Pending applications also stand disposed of.

(ANITA MALHOTRA)
AR-CUM-PS

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER