

1

ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.4

SECTION IIA/X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO(S). 1152/2014
(ARISING OUT OF IMPUGNED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 24/12/2013
IN CRLWP NO. 1435/2011 PASSED BY THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)
IMPERIAL EXIM (INDIA) PVT LTD PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR RESPONDENT(S)
(WITH APPLN. (S) FOR STAY AND OFFICE REPORT)
WITH

W.P.(CRL.) NO. 53/2013

(WITH APPLN. (S) FOR STAY AND OFFICE REPORT)

SLP(CRL) NO. 1350/2014

(WITH APPLN. (S) FOR STAY AND OFFICE REPORT)

Date : 01/05/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For parties: Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Harin P. Raval, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Srivastava, Adv.

Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, Adv.

Ms. Ranjee, Adv.

Ms. Samten Doma, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh P. Bhatt, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shreekant V. Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Bhargava V. Desai, Adv.

Ms. Saumya Mehrotra, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, ASG

Mr. A. K. Sanghi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. P.K. Mullick, Adv.

Mrs. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Sheoran, Adv.

Mr. H. Raghavendra Rao, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

2

Mrs. Swarupama Chaturvedi, Adv.

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(CRL.) NO.1152/2014

Heard the learned counsels for the parties and
perused the relevant material.

We find no merit in the present Special Leave
Petition. The Special Leave Petition is accordingly
dismissed. Consequently, all pending applications are also
disposed of.

SLP(CRL.) NO.1350/2014

Heard the learned counsels for the parties and
perused the relevant material.

We find no merit in the present Special Leave
Petition. The Special Leave Petition is accordingly
dismissed. The consideration paid by the petitioners, if
any, be refunded to them, if not already refunded, with
interest at the rate of 9% per annum by the concerned
respondent. This will be done forthwith.

3

W.P.(CRL) NO.53/2013

The writ petition is dismissed in terms of the
signed order.

[VINOD LAKHINA]

COURT MASTER [ASHA SONI]

COURT MASTER
[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]

1
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.53 OF 2013
IQBAL MOHAMMED MEMON (D)
THR. LRS. ...PETITIONER(S)
VERSUS
THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR. ...RESPONDENT(S)
ORDER

We have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

We find no valid ground to entertain the present writ petition any further. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed.

.....,J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.
(NAVIN SINHA)

NEW DELHI
MAY 01, 2017