

ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.4

SECTION IIA/X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO(S). 1152/2014  
(ARISING OUT OF IMPUGNED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 24/12/2013  
IN CRLWP NO. 1435/2011 PASSED BY THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

IMPERIAL EXIM (INDIA) PVT LTD

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA &amp; ANR

RESPONDENT(S)

(WITH APPLN. (S) FOR STAY AND OFFICE REPORT)

WITH

W.P.(CRL.) NO. 53/2013

(WITH APPLN. (S) FOR STAY AND OFFICE REPORT)

SLP(CRL) NO. 1350/2014

(WITH APPLN. (S) FOR STAY AND OFFICE REPORT)

Date : 01/05/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For parties:

Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Harin P. Raval, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Srivastava, Adv.

Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, Adv.

Ms. Ranjee, Adv.

Ms. Samten Doma, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh P. Bhatt, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shreekant V. Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Bhargava V. Desai, Adv.

Ms. Saumya Mehrotra, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, ASG

Mr. A. K. Sanghi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. P.K. Mullick, Adv.

Mrs. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Dhruv Sheoran, Adv.

Mr. H. Raghavendra Rao, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

Mrs. Swarupama Chaturvedi, Adv.  
Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(CRL.) NO.1152/2014

Heard the learned counsels for the parties and perused the relevant material.

We find no merit in the present Special Leave Petition. The Special Leave Petition is accordingly dismissed. Consequently, all pending applications are also disposed of.

SLP(CRL.) NO.1350/2014

Heard the learned counsels for the parties and perused the relevant material.

We find no merit in the present Special Leave Petition. The Special Leave Petition is accordingly dismissed. The consideration paid by the petitioners, if any, be refunded to them, if not already refunded, with interest at the rate of 9% per annum by the concerned respondent. This will be done forthwith.

W.P. (CRL) NO.53/2013

The writ petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

[VINOD LAKHINA]  
COURT MASTER

[ASHA SONI]  
COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.53 OF 2013

IQBAL MOHAMMED MEMON (D)

THR. LRS.

...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT

OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

...RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

We have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

We find no valid ground to entertain the present writ petition any further. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed.

.....,J.  
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.  
(NAVIN SINHA)

NEW DELHI  
MAY 01, 2017