```
ITEM NO.5+56
                          Court No.5
                                                 SECTION PIL
            SUPREME
                          COURT
                                      OF INDIA
                        RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
                   WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 494 OF 2012
JUSTICE K.S.PUTTASWAMY(RETD)& ANR
                                                 Petitioner(s)
                VERSUS
UNION OF INDIA & ORS.
                                                 Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for stay)
WITH T.P.(C) NO. 47-48 of 2013
(With appln(s) for stay and office report)
(Appln. for deletion of the name of petitioner no. 1)
T.P.(C) NO. 476 of 2013
(With appln(s) for stay and office report)
W.P.(C) No. 829 of 2013
(With appln(s) for interim relief and office report)
Date: 23/09/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.
CORAM :
        HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN
        HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE
```

Mr. Anil B. Divan, Sr. Adv. For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv. Mr. Ranvir Singh, Adv. Mr. Sanjay Yadav, Adv. Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, Adv.

> Ms. Deepshikha Bharati, Adv. Mr. S.S. Shamshery, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Kr. Singh, Adv. Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Adv.

Mr. P.R. Kovilan Poongkuntran, Adv.

Mrs. Geetha Kovilan, Adv.

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv. Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv. Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Varun Singh, Adv. Mr. Gaurav Nair, Adv.

M/s. K.J. John & Co. for

For Respondent(s)

This is a True Copy o Mr. Mohan Parasaran, SG

Mr. L. Nageshwar Rao, ASG Mr. Farrukh Rasheed, Adv.

Mr. Alok Mishra, Adv.

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Issue notice in W.P.(C) No. 829/2013.

Application for deletion of the name of petitioner no. 1 in T.P.(C) Nos. 47 of 2013 is allowed.

T.P.(C)nos. 47-48 of 2013 and T.P.(C) No. 476 of 2013 are allowed in terms of the signed order.

All the matters require to be heard finally. List all matters for final hearing after the Constitution Bench is over.

In the meanwhile, no person should suffer for not getting the Adhaar card inspite of the fact that some authority had issued a circular making it mandatory and when any person applies to get the Adhaar Card voluntarily, it may be checked whether that person is entitled for it under the law and it should not be given to any illegal immigrant.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI) Court Master

(M.S. NEGI) Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 47-48 OF 2013

THE GOVT. OF INDIA & ORS. ETC.

....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S. RAJU & ANR. ETC.

....Respondent(s)

WITH

TRANSFER PETITION(CIVIL) NO(s). 476 OF 2013

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, we are satisfied that this is a fit case where the prayer for transfer is to be allowed.

On the facts of the case, we allow these Transfer Petitions and direct that W.P(C) No. 439 of 2012 titled S. Raju Vs. Govt. of India and Others pending before the D.B. of the High Court of Judicature at Madras and PIL No. 10 of 2012 titled Vickram Crishna and Others Vs. UIDAI and Others pending before the High Court of Judicature at Bombay be transferred to this Court. The Registry of the High Court of Madras and Registry of the High Court of Bombay are requested to transmit the original records to expeditiously.

These Transfer Petitions are accordingly allowed.

(Dr. B.S. CHAUHAN)

....J.

(S.A. BOBDE)

NEW DELHI; SEPTEMBER 23, 2013.