ITEM NO.36

Court 4 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION IX

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.2104-2105/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-02-2022 in WP No.7305/2021 02-02-2022 in IA No.4130/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay)

SHUBHAM GURURAJ HIREMATH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for IA No.19465/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.19466/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

WITH S.L.P.(C) No.2295/2022 (IX)

(With appln.(s) for IA No.21282/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

S.L.P.(C) No.2661-2662/2022 (IX)

(With appln.(s) for IA No.24242/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date: 25-02-2022 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Pooja Thorat, Adv.

Mr. Satyajit S. Desai, Adv.

Mr. Satya Kam Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Gautam, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Adv. Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR

SLP 2295/2022

Ms. Jayana Kothari, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Rohit Sharma, Adv. Mr. Rounak Nayak, Adv.

Mr. Anshul Chowdharyk, Adv. Ms. Arju Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. Kumar Dushyant Singh, AOR

SLP 2661-62/2022

Mr. C.S. Vaidyanathan, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Nandini Gore, Adv. Ms. Sonia Nigam, Adv. Mr. Sarthak Gaur, Adv. M/s. Karanjawala & Co.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, ASG

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv. Ms. Vimla Sinha, Adv. Mr. Varun Chugh, Adv.

Ms. Suhashini Sen, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Chitnis, Adv.

Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR

Mr. Aaditya A. Pande, Adv.

Mr. Geo Joseph, Adv.

Ms. Shwetal Shepal, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, AOR

Mr. Dhawal Mohan, Adv.

Mr. Prateek Bhatia, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

This batch of petitions arose from an order of a Division Bench of the High Court of Judicature at Bombay dated 2 February 2021, denying interim relief to the petitioners who are students who suffer from physical disabilities.

- 2 The students appeared at the NEET PG examination and are desirous of securing admission to post graduate courses.
- While entertaining the Special Leave Petitions, this Court had directed that the provisional allotment of seats to the three petitioners in these proceedings shall not be disturbed pending further orders.
- 4 Since the petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution are pending before the High Court, we direct that the interim orders which were passed in these proceedings shall continue to remain in operation till the High Court takes up the petitions for hearing and final disposal and pending the final disposal. The rights and contentions of the parties are kept open to be urged at the hearing of the petitions.
- The ad-interim orders shall accordingly stand confirmed as interim orders pending the disposal of the writ petitions before the High Court. We permit the parties to move the High Court for expeditious hearing of the writ petitions.
- 6 The Special Leave Petitions shall accordingly stand disposed of.
- 7 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(CHETAN KUMAR) A.R.-cum-P.S. (SAROJ KUMARI GAUR) Court Master