www.ecourtsindia.com

ITEM NO.6 COURT NO.2 SECTION IX

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos.1-3/2017 In

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.3885-3887/2014

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17/07/2013 in AA No. 16/2012 17/07/2013 in CA No. 46/2012 17/07/2013 in CA No. 47/2012 passed by the High Court of Bombay at Nagpur)

ACB LTD Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAHARASHTRA STATE POWER GEN. CO. LTD&ORS

Respondent(s)

(For extension of time and office report)

WITH I.A. Nos.2 & 3 in S.L.P. (C) No.3598/2014

(For clarification and extension of time and office report)

I.A. Nos.1-3 in S.L.P.(C) Nos.3890-3892/2014

(For extension of time and office report)

I.A. Nos.1-3 in S.L.P. (C) Nos.3893-3895/2014

(For extension of time and office report)

I.A. Nos.1-2 in S.L.P. (C) Nos.3905-3906/2014

(For extension of time and office report)

I.A. Nos.1-2 in S.L.P.(C) Nos.3907-3908/2014

(For extension of time and office report)

I.A. Nos.1-3 S.L.P. (C) Nos.3909-3911/2014

(For extension of time and office report)

Date: 17/04/2017 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. E.R. Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Sumit Goel, Adv.

Mr. Kshatrshal Raj, Adv.

Mr. Abhiram Naik, Adv.

for M/s. Parekh & Co.

Mrs. Nandini Gore, Adv.

Ms. Trishala Kulkarni, Adv.

Ms. Neha Khandelwal, Adv.

Mrs. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.

for M/s. Karanjawala & Co.

www.ecourtsindia.com

For Respondent(s) Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Jaguiyay Gandhi, Adv.

Ms. Disha Vaish, Adv.

Ms. Anisha M., Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, AOR

Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.

Ms. Megha Karnwal, Adv.

Ms. Shubhra Kapur, Adv.

Devmani Bansal, Adv.

Ms. Jagriti Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Gagan Gupta, AOR

Mr. Amit Khare, Adv.

Mr. Rajul Shrivastav, Adv.

Mr. Mohit D. Ram, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

These are the applications for extension of time for disposal of the arbitral proceedings.

Learned counsel appearing for the parties fairly submitted that six months' time be granted for disposal of the arbitral proceedings.

In view of the aforesaid concession given, the time is extended till end of November, 2017, so that the learned Arbitrator can pass the award as per law.

The interlocutory applications are, accordingly, disposed of.

I.A. No.2/2017 in S.L.P.(C) No.3598/2014

This is an application for clarification of order dated $15^{\rm th}$ September, 2016, passed by this Court.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant.

The interlocutory application is dismissed.

(Chetan Kumar) Court Master (H.S. Parasher)
Court Master