www.ecourtsindia.com

ITEM NO.17 COURT NO.1 SECTION IV-B

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) ........Diary No(s).3368/2018

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-10-2017 in ESA No.52/2017 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh)

SUBE SINGH DECEASED THROUGH HIS LRS & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

MAHABIR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.28933/2018-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.28934/2018-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date: 19-03-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Neeraj K.Jain, Sr.Adv.

Mr.M.K.Ghosh, Adv.

Ms.Tina Garg, Adv.

Mr.Siddharth Jain, Adv.

Mr.Aniket Jain, Adv.

Mr. B. Ramana Murthy, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr.Deepak Jain, Adv.

Mr.Abbula Kalam, Adv.

Mr.Akshay Jain, Adv.

Mr. Fuzail Ahmad Ayyubi, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R  $\,$ 

It is submitted by Mr.Neeraj K.Jain, learned senior counsel for the petitioners that there was no need for circulating a letter by the caveator/respondent, for, the point raised in this special leave petition is totally different than the order passed by this Court on 29.02.2016 in SLP(C)No.4384/2016, whereby this Court has only dismissed the special leave petition in limine. To

elaborate, by this dismissal, the decree passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in RSA No.952/1989 has been affirmed. Whereas, in the present petition, the issue would be regarding the justifiability of the executability of the decree including the issue of limitation. Learned counsel for the parties are required to come ready to argue the matter on 26.03.2018.

Call on the date fixed.

(<u>Ch</u>etan Kumar ) Court Master (Tapan Kumar Chakraborty)
Court Master