

(With office report)
Civil Appeal NO. 953 of 2008
(With office report)
Civil Appeal NO. 956 of 2008
(With office report)
Civil Appeal NO. 957 of 2008
(With office report)
Civil Appeal NO. 962 of 2008
(With office report)
Civil Appeal NO. 970 of 2008
(With office report)
Civil Appeal NO. 975 of 2008
(With office report)

Date: 06/01/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. U.A. Rana, Adv.
M/S Gagrath & Co., Adv.
Ms. Neha Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.
Ms. Ananya Ghosh, Adv.
M/S Suresh A. Shroff & Co.
Mr. Prashant Mehra, Adv.
Mr. Pramod B. Agarwala, Adv.
Mr. Manish Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sudhir Kumar Gupta, Adv.

Item No.98

-3-

Mr. O.P. Gaggar, Adv.
Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kumar RS, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Hari Shankar K, Adv.
Mr. Rajan Narain, Adv.
Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.
Mr. Param Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Nitish Mashi, Adv.
Mr. Nitin Mishra, Adv.
M/S. Khaitan & Co.
Mr. Pawan Upadhyay, Adv.
Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Prem Prakash, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.
Mrs Manik Karanjawala, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Mehra, Adv.
Mr. Pramod B. Agarwala, Adv.
M/S Gagrath & Co.
Ms. Sudha Pal, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.
Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vismai Rao, Adv.
Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao, Adv.
Mr. Jatin Zaveri, Adv.
Mr. Prem Prakash, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

CA No.2794/2008

Final chance is granted to the learned counsel for the appellant, Mr. Prashant Mehra for taking fresh steps for getting the notices served on respondent Nos.6, 7 and 10.
Item No.98 -4-

CA Nos.975 and 1294/2008

No further orders are required to be passed.

Learned counsel for the appellant has stated that he has yet not received the copy of counter affidavit.

Respondents to serve copy of the counter affidavit to the learned counsel for the appellant.

So far as the other set of the appeals are concerned, it appears from the submission advanced on record by the learned Advocates that incorrect position has been mentioned in the office report lead to a serious ambiguity, which has resulted into prolonging of the proceeding.

Registry is requested to submit a defined clear report on position of the service on the various respondents in all these matters as well as filing of the counter affidavit so as to facilitate passing of necessary appropriate orders by the court.

List again on 8.2.2012.

(M.A. SAYEED)
REGISTRAR

rd