```
@1
  IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
  CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
  CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4554 OF 2017
  (Arising out SLP (C) No. 5510 of 2015)
  SRIVATSA & ANOTHER
                                                  Appellant (s)
                                    VERSUS
 A.H. SHARADA
                                                  Respondent(s)
    ORDER
  1) Leave granted.
2) Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.
3) The only question for our consideration is whether a petition filed under Order IX Rule 13 of the CPC which has been dismissed by the High Court should be allowed at this stage.
4) We have seen that an order has been passed Trial Court rejecting the petition filed by the appellants under Order IX Rule 13 to set aside ex passed.
                                                   been passed
  under Order IX Rule 13 to set aside ex parte
                                                                     iudament
  passed in O.S. No. 8086/2007. The Trial Court held that if
  the appellants' counsel had not attended Court
  prosecuted the defence, that would not amount to
  'sufficient cause' for the purposes of Order IX.
  5) After considering the facts and circumstances of the
  case, we feel that it would be appropriate for
                                                                      us to
  aside the order passed by the High Court on the ground that
  the appellants' counsel, who was to take necessary steps in
  the matter failed, and for the negligence of an
  the litigant should not suffer.
                                            passed by the
  6) In view of this, the
                                    order
                                                                   High
  is set aside and the appeal is allowed, however, with costs
  awarded at Rs.10,000/- .
     ...... J.
     (PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)
     ...... J.
          (ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN)
New Delhi;
March 27, 2017.

3
ITEM NO.1
                            COURT NO.6
                                                       SECTION IVA
                  SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                           RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
 Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 5510/2015
  (Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated
  03/02/2014 in MFA No. 6229/2012 passed by the
                                                                 High Court
  Karnataka At Bangalore)
  SRIVATSA & ANOTHER
                                                       Petitioner(s)
                                    VERSUS
  A.H. SHARADA
                                                       Respondent(s)
 (With interim relief and office report)
 Date: 27/03/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.
 CORAM :
            HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
            HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN
  For Petitioner(s) Ms. Pritha Srikumar Iyer, AOR
  Mr. Abhinav Ramanand, Adv.
  Ms. Neha Mathen, Adv.
  For Respondent(s) Mr. S. N. Bhat, AOR
  UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                                 ORDER
       Leave granted.
o.ecountsindia.ecountsindia.ec
      order passed by the High Court is set aside
                                                                         and
                                                                                the
  appeal is allowed, however, with costs awarded at
```

Rs.10,000/- in terms of the signed order .

www.ecourtsindia.com www.ecourtsindia.com

(R. NATARAJAN) (SNEH LATA SHARMA) Court Master Court Master (Signed order is placed on the file)