

**R E V I S E D**

**ITEM NO.7**

**Court 4 (Video Conferencing)**

**SECTION X**

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.34/2022**

**TANIA MUKHERJEE & ORS.**

**Petitioner(s)**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.**

**Respondent(s)**

**(With appln.(s) for IA No.8745/2022-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)**

**Date : 27-01-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.**

**CORAM :**

**HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA**

**For Petitioner(s)**

**Mr. Pratik Dhar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, AOR  
Mr. Kartik Kr. Ray, Adv.  
Ms. Rashmi Singhania, Adv.**

**For Respondent(s)**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

- 1 Since the petitioners have an alternate remedy under Article 226 of the Constitution, we are not inclined to entertain the Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. The Petition is dismissed on this ground alone leaving it open to the petitioners to move the Calcutta High Court in a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution.
- 2 The Petition is dismissed.
- 3 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(CHETAN KUMAR)  
A.R. -cum-P.S.**

**(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)  
COURT MASTER**

Writ Petition (Civil) No.34/2022

TANIA MUKHERJEE &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for IA No.8745/2022-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

Date : 27-01-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Pratik Dhar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, AOR  
Mr. Kartik Kr. Ray, Adv.  
Ms. Rashmi Singhania, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

- 1 Since the petitioners have an alternate remedy under Article 226 of the Constitution, we are not inclined to entertain the Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. The Petition is dismissed on this ground alone leaving it open to the petitioners to move the Calcutta High Court in a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution.
- 2 The Petition is dismissed.
- 3 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(CHETAN KUMAR)  
A.R. - cum - P.S.(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)  
COURT MASTER