% SLP(C)No. 2792 OF 2004 ITEM No.63

Court No. 6

SECTION XI A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.2792/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 29/12/2003 in CMA 11070/03 of The HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL)

GARHWAL MANDAL VIKAS NIGAM LTD. & ANR.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

TEHRI ADARSH BHUTPURVA S.S.S. LTD. & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 23/02/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Petitioner (s)Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, ASG.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.

Mr. U.K. Uniyal, Adv.

Mr. D.K. Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Manzoor Ali, Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

For the StateMs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

Mr. Uday Umesh lalit, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Joseph Pookkatt, Adv.

Mr. Rohan Thawani, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following $\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{O}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{R}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{D}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{E}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{R}}}$

It seems that the counsel for respondent No.1 has not filed copies

of applications which had been made by them in the disposed of matters. They have also not filled copies of the orders passed thereon and/or the undertakings obtained. Respondent No. 1 is directed to file all such copies.

In view of the above, the matter is adjourned to 8th March, 2004. Rejoinder be filed in the m eantime.

KALYANI

(JASBIR SINGH) COURT MASTER