

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 1010/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06-10-2015 in CRLOP (MD) No. 14573/2014 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Madras At Madurai)

S.M. ANANTHA MURUGAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE CHAIRMAN, BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 12-12-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s) Ms. A. Venayagam Balan, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, AOR

Ms. Taruna Ardhendumauli Prasad, Adv.

Ms. T. Virdi, Adv.

Mr. Namit Saxena, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard.

Since it is stated at Bar that the impugned judgment is under challenge before a Division Bench of the High Court, no further order is necessary at this stage.

The special leave petition is accordingly disposed of without prejudice to all contentions to be considered by the High Court in accordance with law.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(MAHABIR SINGH)  
COURT MASTER(PARVEEN KUMARI PASRICHA)  
COURT MASTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 1010/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06-10-2015 in CRLOP (MD) No. 14573/2014 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Madras At Madurai)

S.M. ANANTHA MURUGAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE CHAIRMAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 12-12-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s) Ms. A. Venayagam Balan, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, AOR  
Ms. Taruna Ardhendumauli Prasad, Adv.  
Ms. T. Virdi, Adv.  
Mr. Namit Saxena, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard.

Since it is stated at Bar that the impugned judgment is under challenge before a Division Bench of the High Court, no further order is necessary at this stage.

The special leave petition is accordingly disposed of without prejudice to all contentions to be considered by the High Court in accordance with law.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(MAHABIR SINGH)  
COURT MASTER

(PARVEEN KUMARI PASRICHA)  
COURT MASTER