HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 296/2023

- Smt. Galli Meena, Aged About 18 Years, Wife Of Shri Omprakash Meena Daughter Of Shri Dulli Chand, Resident Of Village Jhalatala Tehsil Laxmangarh Distt. Alwar At Present Resident Of Village Babeli Tehsil Reni, Distt. Alwar.
- 2. Omprakash Meena Son Of Shri Ram Charan Meena, Aged About 23 Years, Resident Of Village Babeli Tehsil Reni, Distt. Alwar.

----Petitioners

Versus

- State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Home Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj).
- 2. Superintendent Of Police, District Alwar.
- 3. Station House Officer, Police Station Laxmangarh, Alwar.
- 4. Station House Officer, Police Station Rajgarh, Alwar.
- Dulli Chand Meena Son Of Girraj Prasad Meena, Resident Of Village Jhallatalla Tehsil Laxmangarh Distt. Alwar Police Station Laxmangarh Alwar
- Kamlesh Meena Son Of Girraj Prasad Meena, Resident Of Village Jhallatalla Tehsil Laxmangarh Distt. Alwar Police Station Laxmangarh Alwar
- 7. Shivram Meena Son Of Haripal Meena, Resident Of Babeli, Tehsil Reeni Distt. Alwar Police Station Rajgarh
- 8. Dullichand Meena Son Of Shravan Ram Meena, Resident Of Babeli, Tehsil Reeni Distt. Alwar Police Station Rajgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Manish Kumar

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Ghan Shyam Singh Rathore, GA

cum AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

Order



13/02/2023

- 1. Heard the parties.
- 2. This criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India for protection to life and personal liberty of the petitioners.
- 3. The petitioners are major and have entered into marriage with each other. Their marriage registration certificate is already on the record. The petitioners have approached this court for protection of their life and liberty as private respondents are not approving and recognizing their marriage.
- 4. The law is well settled that privacy and liberty of individuals cannot be infringed by taking the law in one's hands. If there is allegation of violation of law, the aggrieved person may take legal recourse and no other step can be at the whim of anyone.
- 5. In Navtej Singh Johar Vs. Union of India (2018) 10 SCC 1, The Supreme Court said as follows:-

"The right to privacy enables an individual to exercise his or her autonomy, away from the glare of societal expectations. The realisation of the human personality is dependent on the autonomy of individual. liberal democracy, In а recognition of the individual autonomous person is an acknowledgment of the State's respect for the capacity of individual to make independent The right to privacy may be choices. construed to signify that not only are certain acts no longer immoral, but that there also exists an affirmative moral right to do them."

6. In Shafin Jahan Vs. Asokan K.M. 2018 (16) SCC 368, The Hon'ble Supreme Court said that " the social values and morals have their space but they are not above the constitutionally quaranteed freedom. The said freedom is both a constitutional



and a human right. Deprivation of that freedom which is ingrained in choice on the plea of faith is impermissible."

In Navtej Singh Johar Vs. Union of India (2018) 10 SCC 1, The Supreme Court said as follows:-

> "131. The duty of the constitutional courts is to adjudge the validity of law on wellestablished principles, namely, legislative competence or violations of fundamental rights or of any other constitutional provisions. At the same time, it is expected from the courts as the final arbiter of the Constitution to uphold the principles of the Constitution and not to be remotely guided by majoritarian view or popular perception. The Court has to be guided by the conception of constitutional morality and not by the societal morality.

> 132. We may hasten to add here that in the context of the issue at hand, when a penal provision is challenged as being violative of the fundamental rights of a section of the society, notwithstanding the fact whether the said section of the society is a minority or a majority, the magna cum laude and creditable principle of constitutional morality, in a constitutional democracy like ours where the rule of law prevails, must not be allowed to be trampled by obscure notions of social morality which have no legal tenability. The concept of constitutional morality would serve as an aid for the Court to arrive at a just decision which would be in consonance with the constitutional rights of the citizens, howsoever small that fragment of the populace may be. The idea of number, in this

.ecourtsindia.com

context, is meaningless; like zero on the left side of any number.

- 133. In this regard, we have to telescopically analyse social morality vis-a-vis constitutional morality. It needs no special emphasis to state that whenever the constitutional courts come across a situation of transgression or dereliction in the sphere of fundamental rights, which are also the basic human rights of a section, howsoever small part of the society, then it is for the constitutional courts to ensure, with the aid of judicial engagement and creativity, that constitutional morality prevails over social morality."
- 8. Considering the constitutional right of the petitioners, let the State respondents ensure protection of the personal life and liberty of the petitioners.
- 9. With the aforesaid observations, petition stands disposed of.
- 10. Stay application also stands disposed of.

(BIRENDRA KUMAR),J

ashu /7