

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(128)

CR-6427-2024

Date of Decision: - 06.11.2024

Neha and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Tejender Singh Chauhan and another

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Dr. Rishi Pal Singh, Advocate,

for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

- 1. Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 11.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal.
- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that earlier CRR-2550-2023 titled as "Neha vs. Tejender Singh Chauhan and another" was filed by the petitioner and vide order dated 18.09.2024, the said petition was withdrawn in view of the judgment passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in *CRM-M-19553-2023* titled as "*Jaspal Kaur alias Pinki and others vs. State of Punjab and others" decided on 24.04.2023*. It is submitted that as per the judgment dated 25.10.2024 of the Division Bench of this Court passed in *CR no.3407 of 2024* titled as

ırtsindia.com

ww.ecourtsindia.co

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com www.ecourtsindia.α

"Hemant Bhagat and others vs. Prekshi Sood Bhagat and other connected matters" the petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been held to be maintainable. It is submitted that in view of the bar under the Cr.P.C., the order dated 18.09.2024 passed in CRR-2550-2023 cannot be recalled and thus, the petitioners be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

November 06, 2024 naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL) JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No Whether reportable? Yes/No