## IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRWP No.10083 of 2024 **Date of decision: 01.03.2025** 

SAJAN MANCHANDA AND ANOTHER

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

## MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

Report has been filed by the respondent-State as per which, two security personnel have been temporarily deputed with the petitioners subject to periodic review.

However, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI-Joginder Pal Singh, Police Station- Jalandhar has submitted that both the petitioners have gone abroad and therefore, there was no need for continuing the previous arrangements. Statement of the sister of petitioner No.1 to this effect has been recorded on 28.02.2024 and a G.D. No.32 has been entered.

Even otherwise, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the fact that the matter has been passed over twice.

It appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the present petition any more. Hence, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

> (MANISHA BATRA) JUDGE

01.03.2025 Jyoti-IV

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No. Whether reportable Yes/No